

Journal Name: [Journal of Basic and Applied Research international](#)
 Manuscript Number: **Ms_JOBARI_12562**
 Title of the Manuscript: **THE ROLE OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN NATIONAL DEVELOPMENT**
 Type of the Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this	This manuscript explores the potential of artificial intelligence (AI) in driving national development across key sectors, such as economic growth, healthcare, education, infrastructure, and security. While the topic is timely and relevant, addressing an area of increasing global interest, the manuscript lacks the depth and rigor typically expected in scholarly research. Its potential contribution lies in providing a broad overview of AI's transformative role; however, the absence of critical analysis,	

<p>part.</p>	<p>empirical data, and forward-looking strategies limits its value for advancing the scientific discourse. Strengthening the research methodology and offering actionable insights could significantly enhance its relevance for the scientific community. Finally it looks to me as an state of the art submitted essay.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The title of the article, as provided, is likely descriptive of the topic, but it could be improved to better reflect the manuscript's focus and the broader implications it seeks to address. If the paper is primarily exploring the application of artificial intelligence in national development across multiple sectors, the title could be made more specific and engaging.</p> <p>Suggested Alternative Titles:</p> <p><i>Artificial Intelligence as a Catalyst for National Development: Opportunities and Challenges</i></p> <p>Or</p> <p><i>Leveraging Artificial Intelligence for Advancing Key Sectors of National Growth"</i></p> <p>These alternatives might provide a clearer sense of the manuscript's scope and focus while making it more appealing to the academic audience.</p>	

<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract provides a broad overview of the article's focus and touches on the application of artificial intelligence in national development across various sectors. While it is acceptable, there is room for improvement to enhance clarity and precision.</p> <p>The abstract should explicitly state the article's main goal or research question to provide a stronger focus.</p> <p>Briefly mention the key sectors discussed (e.g., economic growth, healthcare, education) and the transformative potential of AI in these areas.</p> <p>Conclude the abstract with a sentence on the broader implications of the findings or insights provided in the article.</p>	
<p>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</p>	<p>The manuscript appears to be conceptually aligned with the scientific understanding of artificial intelligence (AI) and its applications in national development. However, the lack of empirical evidence, critical analysis, and methodological rigor makes it challenging to fully assess its scientific accuracy.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>The references in the manuscript are acceptable and provide a foundational understanding of the topic. However, there may be room for improvement by incorporating a few more recent and diverse sources to reflect the rapid advancements in artificial intelligence and its applications. While the existing references are relevant, ensuring that the most up-to-date research and case studies are included could enhance the manuscript's academic rigor and appeal.</p>	

<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language and English quality of the article are acceptable for understanding the main ideas. However, there are occasional grammatical errors and instances of awkward phrasing that could benefit from minor revisions. Improving the clarity and flow of certain sentences would enhance readability and bring the manuscript closer to the standards of scholarly communication. A light proofreading or editing pass would help refine the presentation without altering the core content.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>I have reviewed the provided manuscript and here are my general observations. The paper is focused on the role of artificial intelligence in national development, covering economic growth, healthcare, education, infrastructure, and national security.</p> <p>The content is broad and ambitious, but its structure and depth are problematic for a scholarly publication.</p> <p>First there's a lack of research depth. The paper reads more like a review or an essay rather than a research article. It lacks original data, experiments, or a clear methodology that contributes to new knowledge in the field.</p> <p>Second, I am finding the Introduction to be overlong It takes up significant space without transitioning effectively into a focused problem statement or research objectives.</p> <p>Conclusions are fairly Weak. While the conclusion summarizes the topics discussed, it fails to provide critical insights, actionable recommendations, or implications for future research.</p> <p>Last there's no Critical Engagement or Future Orientation. The manuscript does not critically engage with existing literature or propose a forward-looking analysis, which limits its scholarly value.</p> <p>I am ready to assist with specific questions regarding the manuscript's content, structure, and quality, or to draft evaluation remarks for submission. Let me know how you'd like to proceed.</p>	

	<p>The manuscript addresses a relevant and timely topic, but it falls short of meeting the rigorous standards expected for scholarly research. Key areas of concern include the lack of structured methodology, limited critical analysis, and weak conclusions. While the topic itself has potential, the manuscript in its current form resembles an essay rather than a research article, which limits its contribution to the field.</p> <p>In principle, I would not recommend granting a pass due to these shortcomings. Should the manuscript be considered for publication, substantial revisions would be necessary to improve its academic value and alignment with scholarly expectations.</p>	
--	---	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ernest Vlacic
Department, University & Country	Croatia