

Journal Name: [Asian Journal of Current Research](#)  
 Manuscript Number: **Ms\_AJOCR\_12643**  
 Title of the Manuscript: **Integrated pest management strategies for Castor defoliators**  
 Type of the Article

**General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

**Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**PART 1: Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The manuscript is essential for farmers and researchers because castor is a non-edible oilseed crop with significant industrial and medicinal value. However, due to its lack of popularity, many farmers do not cultivate it as a sole crop, which indicates a need for greater awareness and promotion of the crop. It is important to implement effective plant protection measures to address the various issues caused by defoliators, capsule borers, and other sucking pests and diseases that can	

	occur during the cropping season.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	The title of the manuscript is acceptable and does not need to be changed.	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The first paragraph and last sentence of the abstract have been corrected and modified to be more appropriate and relevant to the manuscript.	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	Manuscript scientifically correct and good .	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	Numerous scientists have conducted extensive research, and many references remain available. The author of this manuscript has compiled and organized the references according to his specific needs.	

<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The language used by the scientist in preparing the manuscript is adequate but requires some improvements for scholarly communication.	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	<p>Several corrections and modifications have been made to the introduction, materials and methods, results, and discussion chapters. These changes have been highlighted in red. Additionally, many scientific names of pests and natural enemies were not italicized, and these corrections have also been marked. All highlighted corrections should be incorporated, replacing the old text with the new modifications as needed.</p> <p>The manuscript may be considered for publication in your journal.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>R.Kirankumar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Agricultural Sciences Raichur, India</b>