

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Current Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJOCR_12643</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Integrated pest management strategies for Castor defoliators</b>
Type of the Article	

**General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

**Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**PART 1: Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	Castor ( <i>Ricinus communis</i> ) is an important industrial and medicinal crop with significant economic and ecological value. This crop is highly susceptible to various pests causing significant damage which lead to economic losses. Therefore, effective pest management is crucial to ensure optimal yield and quality. Further, Integrated pest management strategies not only protect the crop but also enhance farmer income by reducing input costs and improving marketable yields.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	As various pest management practices alone as well as in combination have been evaluated in this study, so <b>'Management strategies for defoliators in castor crop'</b> may be the appropriate title.	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	It should be rewritten with proper synchronization, reflecting the importance of this study, methodology and concrete findings supported by some data.	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	It needs much more improvement. Data regarding seed yields and B:C ratio should be presented in tabular form instead of only mentioning in the text.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	Some recent studies may be mentioned in support of the findings of the current study.	

<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Language quality of the manuscript is not up to the mark. It should be improved.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Manuscript is scientifically important but needs improvement in all the sections.	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Bhupender Singh</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>CCS Haryana Agricultural University, India</b>