Journal Name: <u>Journal of Global Ecology and Environment</u>

Manuscript Number:

Ms_JOGEE_12660

Title of the Manuscript:

Assessing the population of weeds, insects and predators in the organic and conventional fields in Coimbatore district

Type of the Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/

Benefits for Reviewers: https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article is of paramount importance in biodiversity	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Good title	

Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is very short. We need to add totle results	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are very few and need to be updated	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Good language	
Optional/General comments	The article is short. I suggest adding some pictures and also graphs that contribute to clarifying the results.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with
		reviewer, correct the manuscript and
		highlight that part in the manuscript. It
		is mandatory that authors should
		write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down	
	the ethical issues here in details)	
this manuscript?		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ali Abdullah Ali Majrashi
Department, University & Country	Taif University, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia