

Journal Name:	Journal of Global Agriculture and Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOGAE_12666
Title of the Manuscript:	Economic Analysis of Lettuce Growing in Greenhouse: A Case Study from Türkiye
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The economic feasibility of growing lettuce in a greenhouse is better understood thanks to this study, which also provides insightful information for researchers, growers, and policymakers. The work offers a framework for maximizing the cost of production and sustainability in greenhouse farming by examining the economic factors and decision-making criteria. Additionally, the results can direct agricultural practices in areas with comparable economic and climatic circumstances.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is clear and accurately reflects the content of the study. No changes are necessary.	

Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive, but it could briefly mention the practical implications of the findings for greenhouse farming sustainability. Additionally, clarify the comparative importance of the identified criteria.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the manuscript is scientifically sound and adheres to the principles of economic analysis and data collection. Minor clarifications in methodology, such as assumptions in the cost calculations, could enhance transparency.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and recent, but cross-checking for consistency in formatting and ensuring all in-text citations are matched is recommended. If possible, include more recent studies on economic analyses of greenhouse farming for broader context.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is clear and suitable for scholarly communication. Minor grammatical corrections and rephrasing of a few sentences could improve readability.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	John Paul Ranches Gapasin
Department, University & Country	Southern Leyte State University – Hinunangan Campus, Philippines