

Journal Name: [Journal of Global Agriculture and Ecology](#)
 Manuscript Number: Ms_JOGAE_12666
 Title of the Manuscript: **Economic Analysis of Lettuce Growing in Greenhouse: A Case Study from Türkiye**
 Type of the Article: **Research**

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	A producer level evaluation on a rarely studied vegetable (vegetable with leaves) is expected to contribute in development of the profitability literature. However, the research needs to be more oriented and the findings need to be explained and referred in details. These actions may empower scientific stance of the research. And this empowerment is crucial.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is proper.	

Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract reflects the content. Problem is the lack of quality of content and processing the data.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The article needs to be upgraded in terms of methodology and interpretation. The findings neither explained properly, nor discussed.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The authors need to discuss the findings with reference to literature comparing factors affecting producers of different vegetables in Türkiye in comparable regions, if they don't find many literature directly on economy of lettuce.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	I encountered no significant problem. However, overview after modification can also be suggested.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Figen Ceylan
Department, University & Country	Akdeniz University, Türkiye