

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Mathematics and Computer Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOMCOR_12625
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>ON SOME RESULTS INVOLVING MANY VARIABLE FUNCTIONS OF HARDY INTEGRAL INEQUALITIES</b>
Type of the Article	

**General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

**Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**PART 1: Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>		

<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>		
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>		
<p><b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b></p>		
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b></p>	<p><b>Title:</b> "On Some Results Involving Many Variable Functions of Hardy Integral Inequalities"  Here are my comments and suggestions.  The manuscript is poorly structured and lacks clarity, making it challenging to follow the main arguments. The writing quality is significantly below the expected academic standard, with numerous grammatical errors, inconsistent terminology, and unclear explanations. At this stage, the article is not readable and requires substantial revisions to improve its coherence, logical flow, and overall readability. The issues are so extensive that it is difficult to point out all the mistakes individually; therefore, I strongly recommend a thorough revision before resubmission.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The equations are not properly aligned with the page layout. Please revise them for better clarity and presentation.</li> <li>2. The author should use the correct punctuation marks when starting a new line.</li> <li>3. The author should use the appropriate format for writing corollaries, theorems, and proofs to ensure consistency and clarity throughout the manuscript.</li> <li>4. I recommend that the author review some articles with well-</li> </ol>	

	<p>structured formats and write the paper according to the journal's prescribed format to improve the overall presentation.</p> <p>5. The references should be formatted according to the journal's guidelines.</p> <p>6. At this stage, it is challenging for me to provide any positive feedback on this manuscript.</p> <p><b>All the best, Reviewer</b></p>	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Asia Shehzadi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Central South University, China</b>