

Journal Name: [Asian Journal of Mathematics and Computer Research](#)
 Manuscript Number: **Ms_AJOMCOR_12616**
 Title of the Manuscript: **Application of Particle Swarm Optimization in Optimal Asset Allocation**
 Type of the Article: **Short communication**

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Since the article pointed out that Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) effectively optimizes expected returns while minimizing risk in investment portfolios, it proves to be a suitable algorithm for investors seeking improved asset allocation strategies. In this way the manuscript seems to be a significant one among many for the scientific community	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	When using the term 'Application,' it is essential to present research findings in practical contexts. If the manuscript includes such practical insights, the authors can appropriately use the term 'application' in the title.	

Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is thorough, but I suggest that the authors could mention some traditional asset allocation methods in a nutshell	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	As indicated in the title section, it is advisable to present research findings in practical contexts, as the manuscript highlights that PSO effectively optimizes expected returns while minimizing risk in investment portfolios	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references in the manuscript lack recent contributions; therefore, it is recommended to review and include current research studies.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language quality of the article is appropriate for scholarly communication.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	W. Samuel
Department, University & Country	Jamal Mohamed College, Bharathidasan University, India