

Journal Name:	Journal of Global Research in Education and Social Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOGRESS_12570
Title of the Manuscript:	Investigating The Intersection of Indigenous and Modern Culture and Social Determinants of Women's Health in Guatemala
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (<i>Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here</i>)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The work is well written in keeping with the scientific requirements for a quality research project. The authors logically presented the information from the topic to the abstract, and so forth to the conclusion of the research. The findings were current as well as historical literature and this blended approach provides insights into the topic.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title	Excellent	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	I made some grammatical corrections to the study, and added key words that completed the requirements for an abstract.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	See my first comment in Part I.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Excellent	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Good	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	There is a discrepancy in the work as the methods had 85 women; yet, the data was analyse for more than 90 women. This issue must be correct before publication, and this is highlighted in the original document.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Paul Andrew Bourne
Department, University & Country	Vocational Training Development Institute, Jamaica