

Journal Name:	Journal of Global Research in Education and Social Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOGRESS_12570
Title of the Manuscript:	Investigating The Intersection of Indigenous and Modern Culture and Social Determinants of Women's Health in Guatemala
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Examining the blend of indigenous and contemporary cultures offers valuable insights into the societal factors influencing women's health in Guatemala. This examination emphasizes the depth and strength of indigenous knowledge systems while pointing out that modern healthcare practices frequently neglect the specific needs and cultural backgrounds of indigenous women. By recognizing and incorporating these varied cultural viewpoints, we can more effectively tackle health disparities and create more inclusive healthcare solutions. Additionally, it is crucial to consider social elements like access to education, economic opportunities, and gender norms when developing interventions aimed at empowering women and enhancing their overall well-being.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title will be more convincing and catchy if it reflects what I have recommended ie: Exploring the Connection Between Indigenous and Contemporary Culture and the Social Factors Influencing Women's Health in Guatemala.	

<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract should be reframed as: In Guatemala, the blend of indigenous and modern cultures significantly influences the social factors affecting women's health. This research explores how these cultural interactions shape access to healthcare, the quality of services, and health outcomes for women. By examining the traditional health practices and beliefs of indigenous communities alongside contemporary medical methods, we reveal important disparities and opportunities for integration. The study also looks into how social determinants like education, economic status, and gender norms impact women's health. The goal is to provide insights that can guide the development of culturally sensitive health policies and programs aimed at enhancing healthcare delivery and outcomes for women in Guatemala.</p>	
<p>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</p>	<p>The manuscript appears to be scientifically accurate because it meets scientific standards ie :</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Introduction: Clearly defined the research objectives and the significance of studying the intersection of indigenous and contemporary cultures in relation to women's health in Guatemala. 2. Literature Review: Included references to previous research on indigenous health practices and contemporary healthcare systems in Guatemala. This section should highlight gaps in existing knowledge that the study aims to address. 3. Methodology: Provided a detailed description of the research design, data collection methods, and analysis techniques. Ensured that the methods are appropriate for investigating cultural influences on women's health. 4. Results: Presented the findings clearly and concisely, using pie charts. Highlight any significant differences or correlations between cultural practices and health outcomes. 5. Discussion: Interpreted results in the context of existing literature and research objectives. Discussed the implications of findings for healthcare policy and practice in Guatemala. 6. Conclusion: Summarized the key findings of the study and suggest directions for future research. 	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional</p>	<p>The manuscript's references seem to be relevant, up-to-date and comprehensive.</p>	

**references, please mention
them in the review form.**

<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The article's language is quite clear and generally suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>However, a few areas could be polished to enhance readability and precision.:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Clarity and Precision: Ensure that every sentence is clear and precise. Avoid vague terms and aim for direct, specific language. 2. Active Voice: Use active voice where possible. It makes sentences more engaging and easier to read. For example, instead of "The research will be conducted by the team," write "The team will conduct the research." 3. Technical Terms: Define any technical terms or jargon the first time you use them, especially if your audience may not be familiar with them. <p>Here are some ethical considerations to keep in mind for your manuscript:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Informed Consent: Ensure that all participants in your study provided informed consent. They should have been fully aware of the nature of the study and any potential risks involved. 2. Confidentiality: Maintain the confidentiality of participants by anonymizing their data. Personal identifiers should be removed or altered to protect their privacy. 3. Cultural Sensitivity: Given that your research involves indigenous communities, it is crucial to approach your study with cultural sensitivity and respect. This includes acknowledging and valuing traditional knowledge and practices. 4. Bias and Representation: Be mindful of potential biases in your research. Ensure that your methodology and analysis do not perpetuate stereotypes or marginalize any groups. 5. Beneficence and Non-maleficence: Your research should aim to benefit the communities involved and avoid causing harm. Consider how your findings might be used to improve health outcomes and avoid negative repercussions. 6. Informed Consent: Ensure that all participants in your study provided informed consent. They should have been fully aware of the nature of the study and any potential risks involved. 7. Confidentiality: Maintain the confidentiality of participants by anonymizing their data. Personal identifiers should be removed or 	

	<p>altered to protect their privacy.</p> <p>8. Cultural Sensitivity: Given that your research involves indigenous communities, it is crucial to approach your study with cultural sensitivity and respect. This includes acknowledging and valuing traditional knowledge and practices.</p> <p>9. Bias and Representation: Be mindful of potential biases in your research. Ensure that your methodology and analysis do not perpetuate stereotypes or marginalize any groups.</p> <p>10. Beneficence and Non-maleficence: Your research should aim to benefit the communities involved and avoid causing harm. Consider how your findings might be used to improve health outcomes and avoid negative repercussions.</p> <p>11. Conflict of Interest: Disclose any potential conflicts of interest that might affect the integrity of your research. This includes financial, personal, or professional connections.</p>	
--	---	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Halidu Imurana
Department, University & Country	University Of Lucknow,Lucknow, India