

Journal Name:	Journal of Global Research in Education and Social Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOGRESS_12523
Title of the Manuscript:	The Family Response and Impact of the "Double Reduction" Education Reform in Chinese Compulsory Education
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Review Comments

<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p>	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i></p>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript offers valuable insights into the family impact and educational adjustments following China's "Double Reduction" policy, particularly focusing on Xuchang City, Henan Province. Given the policy's focus on reducing student burden, it highlights important shifts in family dynamics, parental anxiety, and evolving educational practices. These findings are relevant as they contribute to broader global discussions on educational reform, burden reduction, and the role of family in education</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>"The Family Response and Impact of the 'Double Reduction' Education Compulsory Education," is clear and suitable as it accurately captures the</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract provides a solid overview but could benefit from additional emphasis on specific findings, such as the changes in student mental health, polarization of academic performance, and the impact on parental roles and anxieties. Including these points would give a clearer picture of the study's key findings and contributions. However, it is too long in relation to the 300word stipulated.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>The structure and subsections of the manuscript are well-organized and appropriately divided. However, the research design is not clearly stated.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript appears scientifically vigorous and technically sound, employing both quantitative and qualitative methods to achieve a comprehensive understanding of family responses to the "Double Reduction" policy. The use of statistical analysis through SPSS and qualitative coding with NVivo ensures that the findings are well-supported by both numerical data and narrative accounts. The study's sampling and</p>	

	data analysis processes are sufficiently detailed, reinforcing its credibility and offering replicable methods for future studies in similar contexts.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	The references provided are relevant and align with the topic of study, though there is room for improvement by including more recent sources, particularly in discussing family education and policy analysis. Adding references that examine similar educational reform policies in other countries could enrich the comparative perspective. The references should be cited intext first then on the reference list. Otherwise, they are bibliograpgh if not cited intex.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The manuscript is generally well-written and suitable for scholarly communication, though some sections may benefit from minor grammatical refinements for improved readability. Consider revising long sentences, making them short and concise.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This manuscript holds considerable relevance for understanding the broader implications of educational reforms on family dynamics, presenting findings that could inform both policy-makers and educators internationally.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sophie Hlatywayo
Department, University & Country	Zimbabwe Open University, Zimbabwe