

Journal Name:	Journal of Global Research in Education and Social Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOGRESS_12523
Title of the Manuscript:	The Family Response and Impact of the "Double Reduction" Education Reform in Chinese Compulsory Education
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Review Comments

<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p>	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i></p>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript addresses a critical and timely issue in Chinese education policy by examining the "double reduction" policy's impact on family dynamics and student well-being. By focusing on Henan Province, it offers empirical insights that contribute to the broader academic discourse on the effects of educational policy on socio-cultural contexts. However, while the manuscript adds valuable data on family attitudes and policy effects, it would benefit from a deeper theoretical framing. Integrating existing educational theories on parental involvement and student autonomy could enhance the scholarly relevance of the findings.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The title effectively communicates the scope but could be refined for clarity and academic precision. A more focused title might be: <i>"Examining Family Responses to the 'Double Reduction' Policy: Impacts on Parental Roles and Student Development in Henan Province, China</i></p>	

<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract is mostly comprehensive but could be enhanced by emphasizing the policy's broader implications beyond family-level changes, such as potential long-term educational and psychological impacts on students. Additionally, mentioning limitations or acknowledging areas for future research could provide a more scholarly balance.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>The structure of the manuscript is well-organized, with logically flowing sections. However, a clearer delineation of methods and results would enhance readability. Including a separate discussion section might also allow for a more sound interpretation of findings in relation to existing literature.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript demonstrates scientific rigor through its mixed-methods approach, which combines SPSS-based quantitative analysis and NVivo qualitative analysis. This methodological duality strengthens the reliability of the findings. Nevertheless, the analysis could benefit from further statistical testing and a discussion of potential biases in participant selection, particularly as the sample is limited to specific regions of Henan Province. The study would be stronger if it critically addressed how these limitations might affect generalizability.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>While the references are largely appropriate and recent, there is a need for more international sources to situate the study within the broader global discourse on educational policy reforms. Including comparative studies from other countries experiencing similar educational challenges would provide a valuable contextual framework.</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language is generally suitable for scholarly communication but could benefit from more precise academic language and terminology. Minor grammatical improvements and adjustments in phrasing could enhance clarity, making the text more accessible to an international academic audience.</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Prosperity M Mwila
Department, University & Country	Saint Augustine University of Tanzania, Tanzania