

Journal Name:	Journal of Global Agriculture and Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOGAE_12560
Title of the Manuscript:	Nutrient Release Pattern and Soil Enzyme Activities of Calcareous Soil As Influenced by PROM
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (<i>Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here</i>)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript titled "Nutrient Release Pattern and Soil Enzyme Activities of Calcareous Soil as Influenced by PROM" highlights an important research area but suffers from major shortcomings. The introduction lacks depth and justification for treatments, and the data presentation fails to establish a clear link between treatment outcomes and crop yield. Significant revisions are essential for clarity and coherence before considering acceptance.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The manuscript title should explicitly mention the crop name to provide clarity and context for the study's focus. Additionally, it is recommended to avoid using acronyms like 'PROM' in the title, as they may not be universally recognized by the readers. A more specific and descriptive title will enhance the accessibility and relevance of the study	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is overly general and lacks specific data to support the results. It is recommended to revise it by incorporating key findings and relevant data to enhance clarity, precision, and overall relevance.	
Is the manuscript scientifically correct? Please write here.	The presentation of data in the article can be significantly enhanced by converting all tables into suitable graphs, which would improve the clarity and comprehension of the findings. Additionally, the discussion portion in is currently underdeveloped and requires substantial improvement. It is recommended to provide scientific justification for the findings and include comparisons to similar studies to better contextualize the results and strengthen the interpretation.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Introduction Section: The introduction section incorporates only a limited number of references. I strongly recommend including sufficient and recent references to enhance the context and credibility of the study. Additionally, the need for the study should be clearly articulated to provide better justification for its significance. Materials and Methods: The section lacks detailed descriptions of the methods	

	and chemicals used, which are essential for reproducibility. I recommend providing comprehensive details for each method and specifying the sources and grades of the chemicals utilized. Calcareous Soil Mention: The reference to calcareous soil is vague and lacks supporting data. Please include analytical data or cite an appropriate and relevant reference to substantiate this claim.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English quality is acceptable	
Optional/General comments	Please add line numbers throughout the manuscript to facilitate detailed, specific comments on particular sections.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hiteshvari V. Korat
Department, University & Country	Gujarat Natural Farming Science University, India