



I. K. Press

Journal Name:	Journal of Disease and Global Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JODAGH_12618
Title of the Manuscript:	NANOTECHNOLOGY THERAPY FOR T2DM: CHALLENGES AND FUTURE PERSPECTIVES, 2024
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline:

This journal believes that no manuscript should be rejected only based on 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is sufficiently robust and technically sound. Too often a journal's decision to publish a paper is dominated by what the Editor/reviewer thinks is interesting and will gain greater readership - both of which are subjective judgments and lead to decisions which are frustrating and delay the publication. This journal will rigorously peer-review your submissions and publish all papers that are judged to be technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.ikpress.org/index.php/index/editorial-guideline>)



PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Diabetes is most prevalent among the globe, which requires close monitoring of therapy for better and effective treatment .In this scenario, concept of Nanotechnology in managing Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus is a boon to the patient fraternity. This manuscript discussed the science of Nanotechnology, which helps to scientific community for further interpretation of T2DM management.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes. The title is suitable. Suggesting 2024 is not required.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes. Abstract is comprehensive. Need of adding some points about T2DM.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient in number and are recent.	



J. K. Press

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Punctuations to be checked .Language/quality of English is suitable for scholarly communications. Red colour highlighted portions in the text to be modified/corrected.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Gap between paragraphs to be minimized. Rather than keeping many of small paras in the text, merge them and present.• References highlighted in red colour are to be checked for uniformity with other references as no page numbers in them.• Diagrams/pictures are not suggestible as it is mentioned in the text.• Author can use Bio render/can crop the diagram.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Roja Rani Budha
Department, University & Country	HK College of Pharmacy, India