



I. K. Press

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Disease and Global Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JODAGH_12600
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Biology, medically importance and control of bed bug (<i>Cimex lectularius</i>) as pests of hospitals, dormitories, homes and hotels</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

**General guideline:**

**This journal believes that no manuscript should be rejected only based on 'lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is sufficiently robust and technically sound. Too often a journal's decision to publish a paper is dominated by what the Editor/reviewer thinks is interesting and will gain greater readership - both of which are subjective judgments and lead to decisions which are frustrating and delay the publication. This journal will rigorously peer-review your submissions and publish all papers that are judged to be technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://www.ikpress.org/index.php/index/editorial-guideline>



## **PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>Although the topic is interesting and important, the manuscript lacks of important information. There are no references for many statements like diversity of Cimicidae. Additionally the information recollected is poor and for a species with this kind and volume of information a much better job can be done. There is no metadata analysis, just few paragraphs with no clear discussion and lacking any conclusions which make no sense in a review paper. Important data like the worldwide resurgence of bed bugs is not mentioned and the distribution map is totally wrong, especially in the Southern Hemisphere. Furthermore, the last important phylogeny of Cimicidae by Roth et al. Should be mentioned. In the transmission of disease there is a lot of discussion and experimental works which are not cited, a good start should be including the bed bugs book chapter by Schaefer (2000) in True Bugs of Economic Importance. Finally the English grammar should be considerably improved, for example the iterative use of "they are" instead of more elaborated and correctly punctuated sentences.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Is a good title but does not reflect the manuscript content (see comments above)</p>	



Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	As the manuscript needs to be rewritten, same goes for abstract	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No, needs a better order of information, metadata analysis and a brief methodology, discussion and conclusions (otherwise, why you write the manuscript?)	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	No, see comments above	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	No, see comments above	
Minor REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No, see comments above	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Manuscript might be acceptable if totally rewritten	

## **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Eduardo I. Faundez
Department, University & Country	Cape Horn International Center, Chile