

Journal Name:	Journal of Biochemistry International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOB1_12581
Title of the Manuscript:	ACCUMULATION OF CAROTENOID AND LIPID IN MICROALGAE DUNALIELLA BARDAWIL DCCBC 15 CULTIVATED UNDER NITROGEN STARVED CONDITIONS
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This research is important from an economic and medical point of view.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Very suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Summary is not comprehensive 1- The researcher did not mention the quantity or density of the algae, i.e. how many algal cells are in one liter or milliliter. 2- What are the rest of the conditions, for example (temperature, light intensity, and phosphorus concentration). 3- The researcher did not mention how many days the algae cultuer lasted, i.e. the growth curve for the farm for how many days. 4- What is the dry weight from which carotenoids and liped were extracted?	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, it is scientifically correct.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, it is sufficient and appropriate.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, clear and understandable.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ahmed Aidan Matrood Al-Hussieny
Department, University & Country	Scientific Research Commission, Iraq