

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Biology and Nature</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOBAN_12583
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of different growing media on germination and seedling growth of Wild almond ( <i>Sterculia foetida</i> L.)
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**PART 1: Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> ( <i>Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here</i> )
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	Almond is a species with high economic, environmental, and medicinal value. Further research into its ecology and conditions for improving seed growth and germination can greatly help remove obstacles to the cultivation of this valuable plant. This article provides a good study on seed germination and seedling growth under different treatments.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Yes	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	It is better to indicate in the abstract why <i>Sterculia foetida</i> L. is important. Is it a medical species, endangered species or an endemic one? And also I recommend to add more about the results in the abstract	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	Yes	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	The number of references is appropriate considering the length of the article. The references are up-to-date and relevant to the article.	

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	<p>In the introduction, you mentioned a big list of countries for distribution area and this is not a common trend in the manuscript. I suggest to declare the distribution area instead of listing a lot of countries. For example, write southeast of Asia.</p> <p>It is suggested to import some sentences about the ecological characteristics of its natural habitats.</p> <p>Discuss your findings from a plant physiology perspective</p>	

## **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<p>Thank you for your insightful review. We appreciate the constructive feedback provided.</p> <p>Based on your suggestions, we have revised the manuscript accordingly. Below, we have highlighted the revised section as per your recommendation:</p> <p>If you have any further suggestions or concerns, please do not hesitate to let us know. We look forward to your feedback on the revised version.</p>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	