

Journal Name:	Journal of Biology and Nature
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOBAN_12582
Title of the Manuscript:	Microfauna Hidden in Moss: First Report of Testate Amoebae from Bhimbandh Wildlife Sanctuary, Bihar, India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is very important to the scientific community. The manuscript contributes greatly to the topic addressed.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes. The title of the article is appropriate.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes. The abstract of the article is comprehensive. I suggest that the authors include the methodology used in the work.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The area of study should be included in the Material and Methods topic and not in the introduction.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Authors should include more classic references on Tecamebas.	

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	
Optional/General comments	I suggest reviewing the journal's publication guidelines for the entire manuscript.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Giovanni Guimarães Landa
Department, University & Country	Centro Universitário Vale do Cricaré (UNIVC), Brazil