

Journal Name:	Journal of Global Economics, Management and Business Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEMBR_12585
Title of the Manuscript:	The Moderation Effect of Artificial Intelligence on the Organizational Citizenship Behavior and Employee Performance
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Since we are living in the era of artificial intelligence, research on this particular field is important and novel. This study investigates the impact of organisational citizenship behaviour on employee performance with AI as a moderator, will attract readers and be advantageous for the Journal.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is well detailed with a summary of the whole study.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	

<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language seems ok. But I suggest the authors to look again at the sentences and the way they have been quoting previous studies. The author should avoid to many “etc” and “e.g”</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The problem statement and gap is defined in the intro section. This should be in another paragraph. I will advise the authors to look at the structure of other papers.</p> <p>I recommend the authors to add more on the literature. Very little has been explained. This study lack a lot in theoretical contribution. More in-depth explanation is needed on the organizational citizenship behavior, employee performance, How AI is affecting performance etc. there are many previous studies which can be taken into consideration and mentioned here.</p> <p>The study can be more critical . A section of discussion can be added. The conclusion section should be more informative.</p> <p>In general, there is missing literature in this study. Many aspects need to be in more detailed.</p> <p>I recommend the authors to look again at the study. More effort is needed overall in this paper.</p> <p>If revisions are adone accordingly, the paper can be considered</p>	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer’s comment</p>	<p>Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i></p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Gaitree Ramgolam</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>University of Technology, Mauritius</p>