

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JET_12595
Title of the Manuscript:	Artificial Intelligence critical or comfortable for Corporate Governance
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The research is too generalised, too simple and hardly contributed anything critical or crucial for the scientific community. The researcher could have done a case study on a single company and highlighted any specific problem they faced and the strategies used to overcome the problem. The sample of 10 was too small for studying the entire manufacturing industry.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	“Applications and challenges of AI in Corporate Governance Practices” could be more suitable title.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract was comprehensive.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, it is scientifically correct.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient and recent	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The grammar needs to be corrected	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Empirical data could make the article more stronger.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	B. Madhu Bala
Department, University & Country	Aurora's PG College, India