

## PROXIMATE AND MINERAL COMPOSITION OF THE STEM AND LEAF OF *CNIDOSCOLUS ACONITIFOLIUS*

Comment [R01]: COMPOSITIONS

### ABSTRACT

*Cnidoscopus aconitifolius* in the family Euphorbiaceae, is one of the most productive green vegetables in both south western and south eastern Nigeria. In this work, investigations were carried out on proximate and mineral constituents of the seed and leaves of the plant parts to ascertain its nutritive and medicinal potentials. Various standard methods were used to determine the availability and quantity of the various proximate (crude protein, fat, moisture, crude fibre, total ash and crude carbohydrate) and minerals (sodium, potassium, calcium, magnesium, iron and zinc). Results of the proximate analysis revealed that the leaves have higher composition of moisture, ash, fiber, ether extract and crude protein while the stem was richer in dry matter. The total proximate composition of leaves ( $24.28 \pm 30.638$ ) is higher than those of the stem ( $22.40 \pm 31.797$ ). The stem have higher mean composition of all minerals (Sodium, Calcium, Magnesium, Iron and Zinc) when compared with that of the leaf. The total mineral composition of the stem ( $190.24 \pm 174.429$ ) is higher than those of the leaf ( $127.76 \pm 101.255$ ). *Cnidoscopus aconitifolius* provides reasonable quantities of most essential nutrients useful for human health maintenance. Minerals and other micronutrients have been shown to be essential in the control of diseases.

Comment [R02]: Of the Nigeria

Comment [R03]: study

Comment [R04]: fiber is better to use

Comment [R05]: has

Comment [R06]: compositions

Comment [R07]: are

Comment [R08]: *Cnidoscopus*

Comment [R09]: The most essential useful nutrients

**Keywords:** Euphorbiaceae, Phytonutrients, Proximate, *Cnidoscopus aconitifolius*, Minerals

### INTRODUCTION

Review of extant literatures revealed that about 25% of the global population lacks adequate food for healthy and active life (FAO, 2010). Food prices, drought, climate change and variability have a negative effects on agro-ecosystem which invariably results in poverty and hunger across the globe. According to United Nations report, millions of children die every year due to malnutrition (UNICEF, 2012). Nutrient deficiency has been implicated in the poor development of children.

Comment [R10]: Delete it

It is recommended that fruits and vegetables intake should increase to 5 -13 servings per day depending on individuals' caloric needs (Thompson and Veneman, 2005). According to Naude, 2013, at least one serving of dark -green leafy vegetables could provide the daily requirements for vitamins and minerals as well as reduce the burden of nutrition-related disease. In many developing countries, to meet the daily requirements of micronutrients in deficient population, identifying and inclusion of nutritionally rich plants in the diet is the best option (Tanumihardjo, 2008). Underutilized crops such as *Cnidoscolus aconitifolius* have an underexploited potential to contribute to food and nutrition security, health, income generation and environmental services. *Cnidoscolus aconitifolius*, commonly called chaya or tree spinnach is widely consumed in the southern part of Nigeria as vegetables. It is a perennial shrub commonly found in the tropics. It is one of the most productive green vegetables eaten in south western Nigeria, where it is called Iyana Ipaga (Odunmbaku *et, al.* 2023). It is also eaten by inhabitants of South Eastern Nigeria where it is called "Hospital too far" (Iwalewe *et, al.* 2008). *Cnidoscolus aconitifolius* belongs to the Euphorbiaceae Family which is a group of arbrescent shrubs with small flowers on dichotomously branched cymes (Bautista-Cruz, 2011). The leaves are large, 32cm long and 30cm wide on chartacious and succulent petioles. The crop originated as a domesticated leafy green vegetable in the Maya region of Gua-temela, Belize, South east Mexico during pre-Cambrian period (Ross and Cruz, 2003) It is easy to grow, very hardy and suffers little insect damage. Some varieties have stinging hairs and require gloves for harvesting.

Comment [RO11]: Cite it

Comment [RO12]: Chaya or Spinnach tree

Comment [RO13]: family

Comment [RO14]: arbrescent

Comment [RO15]: chartaceous

Comment [RO16]: Precambrian

It is cultivated and is used by people with scarce economic resources, commonly consumed by indigenes of Southern Nigeria. Despite the widespread use of this plant across the region, the scientific literature is yet to fully investigate the traditional uses and its nutritional values (Kuti and Konoru, 2004). Inference of most scientific researches show that *Cnidoscolus aconitifolius* is rich in nutrients but there is dearth of information on the mineral and proximate composition of the different parts. It is on this note that this research is conducted to comparatively study the proximate contents of the stem and leaves of *Cnidoscolus aconitifolius*.

Comment [RO17]: indigenous

Comment [RO18]: This

## MATERIALS AND METHOD

The materials used for this research were young seedlings of the research plant *Cnidoscolus aconitifolius* collected from the Botanical Garden of the University of Nigeria, Nsukka. The seedlings with tender young leaves, roots and stems were planted for maturation at an isolated area around the Dept of Botany Laboratory, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka. The seedlings were planted in plastic buckets filled with soil and the soil samples were sterilized, sieved and mixed with some poultry manure and river sand in the ratio of 3:2:1, the mixture was left for a period of 2 hours and after 14 days after sterilization were being used to fill the plastic buckets. The buckets were perforated at the bottom and sides in order to allow for proper draining of water and plants toxic wastes from it

Comment [RO19]: Delete it

## Proximate Analysis

**Crude Protein determination:** This was carried out using the kjeldahl method described by James (1995). The total nitrogen was determined and multiplied with the factors 5.25 to obtain the protein. One -half gram (0.5g) of each sample was mixed with 10mls of concentrated sulphuric acid, AR grades (Analytcs Reagent Grade) in a Kjeldahl digestion flask. A tablet of selenium catalyst was added to it and the mixture was digested (heated) in a fume cupboard until a clear solution was obtained in a separate flask. The acid and other Reagent were digested but without sample to form the blank control.

**Comment [RO20]:** By using the Kjeldahl method that described by James in 1995 (add citation).

**Comment [RO21]:** 10 mgs

**Comment [RO22]:** Sulphuric

**Comment [RO23]:** other reagents

**Comment [RO24]:** It's unclear and change the sentence

**Comment [RO25]:** 100 ml

**Comment [RO26]:** 100 ml

All the digests were carefully transferred to a 100ml volumetric flask using distilled water and made up to a mark in the flask. A 100ml portion of each digest was mixed with equal volume of 45% NaOH solution in Kjeldahl unit. The mixture was distilled and the distillate collected in 100ml of 4% Boric acid solution containing three (3) drops of the mineral indicators (bromocresol) green, methyl (red) a total of 50ml distillate was obtained and titrated against 0.02m H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution). Titration was done from initial green colour to a deep red end point.

**Comment [RO27]:** 100 ml

**Comment [RO28]:** 50 ml

**Comment [RO29]:** 0.02 m

**Comment [RO30]:** color is better

The Nitrogen content was calculated as shown below;

$$\%N_2 = \frac{(100 \times N \times 14 \times vf)}{W \times 1000 \times Va}$$

**Comment [RO31]:** the equation is untidy, arrange it better

Where:

W = Not of sample analyzed

N = Conc of H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> titrant

VF = Total volume of digest

Va = Volume of digest distilled

T = Titre value-Blank

**Comment [RO32]:** Check it up

#### **Determination of fat content (ether extract)-**

Fat content of the sample were determined by the continuous solvent extraction method using a socket apparatus. Five grammes (5.0g) of each sample was wrapped in a porous paper (Whatman No1 filter paper). The wrapped sample was put in a socket on flux flask containing 200ml of petroleum ether. The upper end of the reflux flask was connected to a condenser. By heating the solvent in the flask through electro thermal heater, it vaporizes and condensed into the reflux flask. Soon the wrapped sample was completely immersed in the solvent and remained in contact with it until the flask filled up and siphoned over thus carrying oil extract from the sample down to the boiling flask. The process was allowed on repeatedly for about 4hrs before the defatted sample was removed and reserved for crude fibre analysis. The solvent was recovered and the extracting flask with its oil solvent was dried in the oven at 60°c for 3mins (ie to remove any residue solvent). After cooling in a desiccator, the flask was reweighed.

**Comment [RO33]:** was

**Comment [RO34]:** 200 ml

**Comment [RO35]:** Soonly,

**Comment [RO36]:** fiber is better

**Comment [RO37]:** 3 minutes

**Comment [RO38]:** i.e.

By difference, the weight of fat (oil) extraction was determined and expressed as a percentage of the sample weight. It was calculated as:

$$\% \text{ fat} = \frac{W_2 - W_1}{\text{Weight of sample}} \times \frac{100}{1}$$

Where:

W1 = Wt of empty extraction flask

W2 = Wt of flask and oil extract

**Determination of moisture content:** Moisture content was determined by the gravimetric method of James, (1995). A measured weight of each sample (5g) was weighed into a weighed moisture can. The can and its sample were dried in the oven at 105°C for 3hrs in the first instance. It was cooled in a desiccation and reweighed. The weight was recorded while the sample was retained to the oven and further drying. The drying, cooling and weighing was continued repeatedly until a constant was obtained. By the difference, the weight of moisture was determined and expressed as a percentage, it was calculated as shown below:

$$\% \text{ Moisture} = \frac{W_2 - W_3}{W_2 - W_1} \times \frac{100}{1}$$

Where:

W<sub>1</sub> = Wt of empty moisture can

W<sub>2</sub> = Wt of can before drying

W<sub>3</sub> = Wt of can sample after drying to a constant weight

**Determination of Solute Carbohydrate (dry matter):** The carbohydrate content was calculated by difference as the Nitrogen free extractive (NFE), a method described by Pearson (1976) and James (1995). The NFE was by % NFE=100-% (a+b+c+d+e)s

Where:

a = protein

b = fat

c = fibre

d = Ash

e = moisture

Comment [RO39]: Cite it with name(s)

Comment [RO40]: 3 hours

Comment [RO41]: Pearson in 1976 (if you want to use parenthesis, you should use citation completely)

Comment [RO42]: James in 1995 (if you want to use parenthesis, you should use citation completely)

Comment [RO43]: fiber

**Determination of Crude Fibre** - This was determined by the **wende** method (James, 1995). Five grammes (5g) of each sample here defatted (during fat analysis). The defatted sample was boiled in **200ml** of 1.25% H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution under reflux for **30mins**. After that the sample were washed with several portion of hot boiling water using a **twofold muslim-cloth** to trap the particle. The washed sample were carefully transferred quantitatively back to the flask and 20ml of 1.25% NaOH solutions was added to it. **Again** the samples were boiled for 30mins and washed as before with hot water. **then** they were carefully transferred to a weighed porcelain crucible and dried in the oven at 70°C for 3hrs, after cooling in a **dessicator**, they were reweighed (W<sub>2</sub>) and then put in a muffle furnace and burn at 550°C for **2hrs** (until they become ash). **Again** they were cooled in a desiccator and weighed.

**Comment [R044]:** Fiber

**Comment [R045]:** Wende

**Comment [R046]:** 200 mls

**Comment [R047]:** 30 minutes

**Comment [R048]:** Two-fold

**Comment [R049]:** Muslim

**Comment [R050]:** Again,

**Comment [R051]:** Then

**Comment [R052]:** desiccator

**Comment [R053]:** 2 hours

**Comment [R054]:** Again,

**Comment [R055]:** fiber

The crude **fibre** content was calculated gravimetrically as

$$\% \text{ Crude fibre} = \frac{W_2 - W_1}{W_t \text{ of sample}} \times \frac{100}{1}$$

**Comment [R056]:** fiber

Where:

W<sub>2</sub> = weight of crucible + Sample after washing and drying in oven

W<sub>1</sub> = weight of crucible + Sample as ash.

**Determination of Total Ash** - This was done using the furnace incineration gravimetric method of AOAC (1990). A measured weight (5g) of each fruit sample was in a previous weighed porcelain crucible. The sample in crucible was put in **muffet** furnace set at 550 and allowed to burn for **2-3hrs** (until the sample become a grey ash)

**Comment [R057]:** use complete citation

**Comment [R058]:** Muffet

**Comment [R059]:** 2-3 hours

The sample in crucible was very carefully removed from the furnace taking care not to allow air blow ash away) and cooled in a **desiccators**. It was reweighed by difference, the **weights** of ash was obtained and in percentage it was given by the formulae

**Comment [R060]:** desiccator

**Comment [R061]:** weight

$$\% \text{ Ash} = \frac{W_2 - W_1}{W_t \text{ of sample}} \times \frac{100}{1}$$

Where:

W<sub>1</sub> = Wt of crucible

W<sub>2</sub> = Wt of empty crucible

**Determination of minerals**

The mineral content of the test sample was determined by the dry ash extraction method following specific mineral element. About 2.0g of the sample was burnt to ashes in a muffle (as in ash determination) the resulting ash was dissolved in 100ml of 78 dilute hydrochloric acid (1ml Hcl and then diluted to 100ml in a volumetric flask using distilled water. The digest so obtained was used for the various analysis.

Comment [R062]: 2 grs

Comment [R063]: 100 mls

Comment [R064]: 100 mls

## RESULTS

### Proximate Composition of the stem and leaf of *Cnidosculus aconitifolius*

Table 1 indicates that proximate composition of leaves (24.28±30.638) is higher than those of the stem (22.40±31.797). In comparison, the leaves have higher composition of moisture, ash, fiber, ether extract and crude protein while the stem has only higher composition of dry matter.

**Table 1: Mean Proximate Composition of Stem and Leaves of C.**

*cnidosculus aconitifolius*

Comment [R065]: *Cnidosculus*

Proximate (%)	Stem	Leaf
Moisture Content	8.88±0.020	9.81±0.030
Dry Matter	91.12±0.020	90.19±0.030
Ash	11.53±0.095	13.92±0.025
Crude fibre	7.87±0.030	9.67±0.030
Ether extract	2.47±0.020	4.18±0.015
Crude Protein	12.52±0.180	17.91±0.050
Total	22.40±31.797	24.28±30.638

Results are in Mean±SD \*Differences between groups are significant based on Paired Sample T-test (P<0.05)

### Test of Significant Difference of the Proximate Composition between Stem and Leaves of *Cnidosculus aconitifolius*

Table 1 above shows that the calculated t-statistic value of 4.101 is higher than the critical value of 2.109 at 5% of significance. This indicates that there is significant difference in the proximate composition of *Cnidosculus aconitifolius* between the stem and the leaves.

**Table 2: Test of Significant Difference of the Proximate Composition between Stem and Leaves of *Cnidosculus aconitifolius***

	Stem	Leaf
Mean	22.39778	24.27889
Variance	1011.075	938.662
Observations	18	18
Df		17
T Stat		-4.10084
P(T<=t) two-tail		0.000745
t Critical two-tailed		2.109816

**Mineral Composition of Stem and Leaves of *Cnidosculus aconitifolius***

Table 2 above indicates that the mineral composition of the stem (190.24±174.429) is higher than those of the leaf (127.76±101.255). The stem have higher mean composition of all minerals (Sodium, Calcium, Magnesium, Iron and Zinc) when compared with that of the leaf.

Comment [R066]: has

**Table 3. Mean Mineral Composition of Stem and Leaves of *Cnidosculus aconitifolius***

Minerals	Stem	Leaf
Na	494.18±0.015	276.53±0.075
K	169.58±0.125	160.88±0.040
Ca	280.55±0.055	163.84±0.000
Mg	194.65±0.055	163.85±0.000
Fe	0.84±0.000	0.62±0.000
Zn	1.67±0.035	0.87±0.020
Total	190.24±174.429	127.76±101.255

**Test of Significant Difference of the Mineral**

**Composition of Stem and Leaves of *Cnidosculus aconitifolius***

Comment [R067]: Check it

Table 3. above shows that calculated t-statistic value of 3.212 is higher than the critical value of 2.109 at 5% level of significance. This indicates that there is significant difference in the mineral composition of *Cnidoscolus aconitifolius* between the stem and the leaves.

Table 4. Test of Significant Difference of the Mineral Composition of Stem and Leaves of *Cnidoscolus aconitifolius*

	Leaf	Stem
Mean	190.2422	127.7644
Variance	30425.55	10252.65
Observations	18	18
t-Stat		3.211848
P(T<=) two-tail		0.005115
T Critical two-tail		2.109816

## DISCUSSION

The inference of the proximate analysis carried out on the leaf and stem of *Cnidoscolus aconitifolus* as presented in the results showed that the moisture content 88.8% obtained from the stem was low when compared to 9.81% recorded from the leaf. The relative low moisture content of the stem will hinder the growth of microorganisms and also increase their storage life. This agrees with the findings of Abolaji *et al.*, (2007).

Comment [RO68]: In 2007

The relatively higher quantity of ash, crude fiber, ether extract and crude protein recorded from the leaf completely agrees with the observations of Akindahun, *et al.*, (2005) on *A. viridus*, *O. gratissum* and *H. esculenta*.

Comment [RO69]: In 2005

For the mineral compositions of the stem and the leaves of *Cnidoscolus aconitifolus*, the findings of this research revealed that the stem has more Sodium (Na), Potassium (K), Calcium (Ca), Magnesium (Mg), Iron (Fe) and Zinc (Zn) contents than the leaf. All the minerals tested were detected in high quantity in both the leaf and the stem. This finding does not agree with the reports of Oyagbemi *et al.*,(2016) who stated that low quantity of these minerals were present in the leaf and stem of the plant.

Comment [RO70]: It's better to change the sentence which you can use full citation or use the related year in the sentence

It can be deduced from the findings of this work that the leaf has more proximate content than the stem while the reverse is the case in minerals. The rich nutritional compositions of *Cnidoscolus aconitifolius* as observed in this research work agrees with the findings of some researchers (Chikezie *et al.*, 2016; Jansen, 2004.). The high presence of iron in the stem and fresh leaves of *Cnidoscolus aconitifolius*, as reported in this study supports the use of the plant parts as a blood builder in some parts of South – East Nigeria, where it has also been nick-named “Blood” (Chikezie *et al.*, 2016).

**Comment [R071]:** *Cnidoscolus aconitifolius*

While some edible, leafy green vegetables are usually good sources of proximate and minerals, *Cnidoscolus aconitifolius* provides reasonable quantities of most essential nutrients useful for human health maintenance. Minerals and other micronutrients have been shown to be essential in the control of diseases (Kuti and Torres (1996).

### CONCLUSION

The proximate and mineral analysis of the fresh leaf and stem of *Cnidoscolus aconitifolius* revealed the presence of moisture, dry matter, ash, crude fibre, ether extract, crude protein, sodium, potassium, calcium, magnesium, iron and Zn. These compounds may contribute to the reputed nutritional values of the plant. Relatively, the leaf has more proximate content while the stem is richer in minerals. The inference of this study supports the usage of *Cnidoscolus aconitifolius* as a nutritive green plant.

**Comment [R072]:** fiber

### REFERENCES

- Abolaji O. A., Adebayo, A. N, Odesanmi, O. S. (2007). Nutritional qualities of three medicinal plants; *Xylopiya aethiopicca*, *Blighia sapida* and *Parinari polyandra*. *Pakistan Journal of Nutrition*; 6: 665-668.
- Akindahunsi, A. A. and Salawa, S. O (2005). Phytochemical screening of nutrients and antinutrient composition of selected tropical green leafy vegetables. *African Journal of Biotechnology*4(6):497-501.
- Bautista-Cruz A, Arnaud-Viñas, M. R., Martínez-Gutiérrez, G. A., Sánchez-Medina, P. S. and Pérez- Pacheco, R. (2011). The traditional medicinal and food uses of four plants in Oaxaca, Mexico. *Journal of Medicine and Plants Research*. 5:3404-3411.

**Comment [R073]:** To complete this section, refer to journal rules and check it up to use the acceptable format of references

Chikezie, U. N., Chijioke, A. N., Adjeroh, L. A., Ogbulie, T. E., Udensi, J. U., Oyirioha, K. C. (2016). An Evaluation of the Phytochemical and Nutritional Compositions of Fresh Leaves of *Cnidoscolus aconitifolius* [Miller] I.M. Johnston. *International Journal of Research Studies in Biosciences (IJRSB)* 4(6): 21-28. ISSN 2349-0357 (Print) & ISSN 2349-0365 (Online) <http://dx.doi.org/10.20431/2349-0365.0406004>  
[www.arcjournals.org](http://www.arcjournals.org)

**Comment [R074]:** If you can use hyperlink for doi is better really

FAO (2010). The State of Food Insecurity in the World: Addressing food insecurity in protracted crises. <https://www.fao.org/4/i1683e/i1683e.pdf>. Accessed 24<sup>th</sup> June, 2024

Iwalewe, (2008). Antibacterial activities and toxicological potentials of crude ethanolic extracts of *Eurphobia hirta*. *African Biotechnology* 6: 2400-2405

James, A. C. (1995). Practical Chemical Techniques in Analysis of plant extracts, Oxford University Press

Jansen, P. C. M. (2004). *Cnidoscolus aconitifolius* (Mill) I.M. Johnst, In: Grubben GJH & Denton OA (Editors), PROTA 2: Vegetables/Legumes. (CD-ROM). PROTA, Wageningen, Netherlands.

Kuti, J. O. and Konoru, H. B. (2004). Antioxidant capacity and phenolic content in leaf extracts of tree spinach (*Cnidoscolus* spp.). *Journal of Agriculture and Food Chemistry* 14:117–121.

Kuti, J. O. and Torres, E. S. (1996). *Potential nutritional and health benefits of tree spinach*. In: Janick .J. (ed). Progress in new crops. ASHS Press, Arlington, V.A

Odunmbaku, A., Ekute, B. O. and Amadi, G. K. (2023). Groundwater Quality of Iyana-Ipaja Metropolis in Alimosho Local Government Area of Lagos State, Nigeria. *ChemSearch Journal* 13 (2): 72-76

Oyagbemi, A. A., Odetola, A. A. and Azeez, O. I. (2016). Phytochemical investigation and proximate analysis on the leaves of *Cnidoscolus aconitifolius*. *J Med Food*. 14(3):322-4. doi: 10.1089/jmf.2008.0321. Epub 2010 Dec 23. PMID: 21182430.

Pearson, S. (1976). A handbook of analytical chemistry. Oxford University Press pp 225

Ross-Ibarra, J. and Molina-Cruz, A. (2003). The ethnobotany of Chaya (*Cnidoscolus aconitifolius*). A nutritious maya vegetable. *Journal of Ethnobotany*. 56:350-364.

Tanumihardjo, S (2008). Food-based approaches for ensuring adequate vitamin A nutrition. *Comprehensive reviews in food science and food safety*. 7: 373 -381.

Thompson, T. G. and Veneman, A. M. (2005). Dietary guidelines for Americans United States Department of Health and Human Services and United States Department of Agriculture.

UNICEF (2012). The state of the world's children 2012: children in an urban world. *Esocialsciences*.