

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Biology</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJRIB_12540</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>PROXIMATE AND MINERAL COMPOSITION OF THE STEM AND LEAF OF CNIDOSCOLUS ACONITIFOLIUS</b>
Type of the Article	

**General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

**Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article is a specialized article and can be useful for specific and related user. It deals with both chemistry and botany subjects.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes it is, but if the autor(s) can use undirected keywords instead of few directed keywords, is better. Generally, keywords should be a combination of directed and undirected keywords.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	As I mentioned, This article is a specialized article and can be useful for specific and related user. It deals with both chemistry and botany subjects. As a result, the audiences of this article can be various and vast.	

Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	I strongly recommend to autor(s) that use and add a few recent citations to the article or change few of existed citations with more recent of them.	
Minor REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	After correction of mentioned tips, It can be more valuable.	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Replace or add a few recent citations</li> <li>- Have more precision to write and grammatical issues</li> <li>- Change 1 or 2 keywords as I mentioned</li> </ul>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Reza Eddin Owfi
Department, University & Country	Gorgan University of Agricultural Sciences and Natural Resources, Iran