



I. K. Press

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Plant and Soil Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOPSS_12580
Title of the Manuscript:	Ethnobotanical Assessment of Wild and Semi-Wild Edible Plants in Northwestern Tigray, Ethiopia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline:

This journal believes that no manuscript should be rejected only based on 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is sufficiently robust and technically sound. Too often a journal's decision to publish a paper is dominated by what the Editor/reviewer thinks is interesting and will gain greater readership - both of which are subjective judgments and lead to decisions which are frustrating and delay the publication. This journal will rigorously peer-review your submissions and publish all papers that are judged to be technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://www.ikpress.org/index.php/index/editorial-guideline>



PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (<i>Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here</i>)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Authors have documented Wild and Semi-Wild Edible Plants in Northwestern Tigray, Ethiopia which is an important subject in food problems and biodiversity conservation.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Keywords will be regular not bold letter. Who has identified the plants? What about Herbarium? Add photos of Plants.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Add recent references.	



J. K. Press

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Need to improve the quality of English	
Optional/General comments	Keywords will be regular not bold letter. Who has identified the plants? What about Herbarium? Add photos of Plants.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sanjeet Kumar
Department, University & Country	Ambika Prasad Research Foundation, India