



I. K. Press

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Current Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCR_12594
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF RHIZOBIUM ETLI STRAIN CIAT 899 AS A BIOCONTROL IN MANAGING FUSARIUM WILT (<i>Fusarium oxysporum</i>) OF TOMATO (<i>Solanum lycopersicum</i> L.)
Type of the Article	

General guideline:

This journal believes that no manuscript should be rejected only based on 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is sufficiently robust and technically sound. Too often a journal's decision to publish a paper is dominated by what the Editor/reviewer thinks is interesting and will gain greater readership - both of which are subjective judgments and lead to decisions which are frustrating and delay the publication. This journal will rigorously peer-review your submissions and publish all papers that are judged to be technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://www.ikpress.org/index.php/index/editorial-guideline>



PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Fusarium wilt is a economically important disease in tomato cultivation. The authors demonstrated the role of <i>Rhizobium etli</i> strain CIAT 899 as biocontrol agent in managing this disease. The work is very brief, so the conclusions are very preliminary. However, some points should be taken into consideration and revised accordingly for further improvement. PLESES SEE ATTACHMENT	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It can be more concise and specific	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Not so comprehensive. So, it should be revised as per the comments mentioned inside the article	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Mostly	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	As per the experimental design and treatments, this study seems to be appropriate. But in Table 3 the individual varietal data should be shown. Data presentation should also be improved as commented in Table 3, e.g. SEM, p or t value. etc.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Not so sufficient. Hence the following 2 references should be added- - Nahar, K., Haque, M. A., Chowhan, S., Ali, M. K. J., Husain,	



J. K. Press

:	<p>M. M., & Rahman, M. M. (2021). Combined Effect of Vermicompost and Inorganic Fertilizer on Yield and Yield Contributing Characters of Tomato Plant. Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition, 7(4), 76–82. https://doi.org/10.9734/ajsspn/2021/v8i130124</p> <p>- Chowhan S, Islam M, Sultana R, Eadun Nabi KM, Ghosh SR, Ahmmed MF and Ferdous HM. (2022). Magnitude of aphid infestation, root rot and rust disease of lentil. Journal of Plant Science and Phytopathology. 2022;6: 015-021. https://doi.org/10.29328/journal.jpssp.1001068</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	English quality is moderate to average	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	Last part of the Introduction should be more clear and improved as commented inside the article.	

PART 2

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sushan Chowhan.
Department, University & Country	Bangladesh Institute of Nuclear Agriculture, Bangladesh