



I. K. Press

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Current Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCR_12594
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>EVALUATION OF RHIZOBIUM ETLI STRAIN CIAT 899 AS A BIOCONTROL IN MANAGING FUSARIUM WILT (<i>Fusarium oxysporum</i>) OF TOMATO (<i>Solanum lycopersicum</i> L.)</b>
Type of the Article	

**General guideline:**

**This journal believes that no manuscript should be rejected only based on 'lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is sufficiently robust and technically sound. Too often a journal's decision to publish a paper is dominated by what the Editor/reviewer thinks is interesting and will gain greater readership - both of which are subjective judgments and lead to decisions which are frustrating and delay the publication. This journal will rigorously peer-review your submissions and publish all papers that are judged to be technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://www.ikpress.org/index.php/index/editorial-guideline>



## PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This manuscript addresses a significant issue in sustainable agriculture by exploring the use of <i>Rhizobium etli</i> strain CIAT 899 as a biocontrol agent against Fusarium wilt in tomatoes. The research presents a promising alternative to chemical treatments, which aligns well with the current emphasis on environmentally friendly pest management strategies. The manuscript's findings on disease reduction, plant growth enhancement, and soil microbial balance contribute valuable insights to integrated pest management. I find the manuscript compelling due to its potential applications in sustainable agriculture and its alignment with global efforts to reduce chemical use in crop production.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	No, Suggested Title: <b>Assessing the Biocontrol Potential of <i>Rhizobium etli</i> Strain CIAT 899 Against Fusarium Wilt in Tomato (<i>Solanum lycopersicum</i> L.)</b>  This revised title succinctly conveys the study's focus on biocontrol efficacy and aligns well with the paper's scope.	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	Yes, please see the attracted comments.	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	Better to make separate section of results and Discussion	



J. K. Press

<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>This manuscript is scientifically sound, offering a well-structured study on using <i>Rhizobium etli</i> strain CIAT 899 to manage Fusarium wilt in tomatoes. The experimental design and statistical analyses are appropriate, and the results indicate significant reductions in disease severity. The findings provide a promising, sustainable alternative to chemical control methods in integrated pest management. Suggestion to write the conclusion.</p>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b></p>	<p>No, need to revise all carefully.</p>	



J. K. Press

<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Minor Revision Comment:</p> <p>The language in the manuscript is mostly suitable for scholarly communication. However, a few sentences could benefit from minor grammatical adjustments and rephrasing to enhance clarity and flow. Additionally, some technical terms and phrasing may be refined to improve readability for an international audience.</p>	
---	---	--



<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p><b>Required Minor Revision</b></p> <p><b>Comments</b></p> <p><b>Dear Editor, Thank you very much for the opportunity to review this article. This study presents notable novelty and offers promising strategies for future disease management. The findings contribute significantly to our understanding of the subject and provide a solid foundation for further research in this area. I have some queries to improved this research. Please see below some minor suggestion, please address them carefully</b></p> <p><b>Section Abstract</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Author should revised the objective of the study with more effective way.</li><li>• Revised the first sentence and make it more concise.</li><li>• Author should add of sentence about the importance of biocontrol agents befor starting the objectives of the study.</li><li>• Revised the results section carefully and add the statistical results to make the results section more clear, in current form it looks like a abstract of a review article.</li><li>• Check the last sentence and italized the scientific names.</li><li>• Author should add one effective sentence at the end of the results which shows the future direction of this research and role of this biocontrol in future to control</li></ul>	
---	--	--



	<p>the other diseases.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Revised the keywords again.</li></ul> <p><b>Introduction section</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Author should add one paragraph about the climate change and its impact on tomato plants.</li><li>• Than should add 1-2 sentense about the importance of tomato. Make a good sequence and consistancy.</li><li>• Cross check all the given citation and add the reference of all mising citation.</li><li>• Scientific names should be italic form</li><li>• Write the objective of the present study at the end of introduction section</li></ul> <p><b>Material and methods sections</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Check the coordinates carefully.</li><li>• Why authors wrote this sentese “ The vegetation existing at the experimental site was Mexican sunflower (<i>Tithonia diversifolia</i>), Bill goat weed (<i>Ageratum conyzoides</i>), milkweed (<i>Euphorbia heterophylla</i>), and guinea grass (<i>Megathyrus maximus</i>).” Write the explanation or rempve this sentense.</li><li>• Rephrase this “ <b>Soil Sampling and Analysis of the Evaluation Site</b>” section carefully and make a consistancy.</li><li>• Authors should Revise the all methodology section</li></ul>	
--	--	--



J. K. Press

again, there is no consistency and sense in sentence.

**Results and discussion**

- This section is very poor. Authors should revise it and correlate the results with already published study and make a good conclusion of this study.

**Reference**

- Cross check all the references and try to add recent study to make the manuscript more attractive.



**I. K. Press**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Amjad Ali</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Sivas University of Science and Technology, Türkiye</b>