

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Current Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCR_12590
Title of the Manuscript:	Development and Performance Evaluation of Engine-Operated Bund Trimmer
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (<i>Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here</i>)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript addresses a practical problem in agriculture, efficient bund maintenance which is critical for water management and soil conservation. The study demonstrates the design of an innovative, engine-operated bund trimmer, highlighting its ability to significantly reduce labor and increase productivity.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is informative but lacks a problem statement and explicit research objectives. The statement, "The machine significantly reduces the time needed for trimming, covering more length compared to manual methods," could be enhanced by including specific critical values, such as 930 m/h.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Correct	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Sufficient and recent. Could include a reference for the Bureau of Indian Standards in the list of references.	

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Corrections are required for some typos, and some improvements to the English language are needed.	
Optional/General comments	<p>There is no Table 2 in the manuscript.</p> <p>Correct typo for caption Fig. 1.</p> <p>Proofread for grammatical errors and improve sentence structure for better readability. Eg: "Performance of the engine-operated bund trimmer has evaluated in farmers field and Agricultural Engineering College and Research Institute, Kumulur." Could be improved as "The performance of the engine-operated bund trimmer was evaluated in farmers' fields and at the Agricultural Engineering College and Research Institute, Kumulur."</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhamad Saifuldin Bin Abdul Manan
Department, University & Country	Universiti Malaysia Perlis (UniMAP), Malaysia

