

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Current Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCR_12588
Title of the Manuscript:	An Analysis of the Translation and Communication of Yao Ethnic Medicine in China Based on Lasswell's 5W Model
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article is the expression of a descriptive study of Yao ethnic medicine translation using Lasswell's 5W model, identifying problems, analyzing them, and providing suggestions. The present research contributes to a better understanding of the current status and development prospects of Yao ethnic medicine translation.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	YES	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	YES	
Is the manuscript scientifically correct? Please write here.	YES, but too lengthy and needs rewriting before publication. Many sentences are repeated at different places.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	YES	

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	YES	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rama Shankar
Department, University & Country	Patanjali Research Foundation, India