

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Current Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCR_12588
Title of the Manuscript:	An Analysis of the Translation and Communication of Yao Ethnic Medicine in China Based on Lasswell's 5W Model
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study indicates the insufficient number of documents and the imperfection of the translation of Yao ethnic medicine an essential part of traditional Chinese medicine. The authors suggest effective measures for the research and dissemination of traditional Chinese medicine practices. I consider this research relevant.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is comprehensive. In my opinion, the abstract does not need to be corrected.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	In my opinion, the manuscript is correct scientifically	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The most of references are recent. Please add more references.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The quality of language of the article is acceptability for academic publication.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	After minor corrections, the manuscript is recommended for publication.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Viktoriia Protska
Department, University & Country	National University of Pharmacy, Ukraine