

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Current Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCR_12575
Title of the Manuscript:	TREND ANALYSIS OF BLENDED LEARNING RESEARCH OUTPUT FROM SCOPUS: A SCIENTOMETRIC STUDY
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (<i>Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here</i>)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study is discussing about blended learning and its implication on future research which is considered important. It's one of the ways to facilitate learning flexibility in this era.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract only contains numbers and percentages and it should be added with what the implications of these numbers are.	
Is the manuscript scientifically correct? Please write here.	I suggest to divide this manuscript into introduction, literature review, method, findings and discussion, and conclusion(s) and recommendation(s)	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Some additional references would be better	

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	Please see my comments in the manuscript	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Santri Emilin Pingsaboi Djahimo
Department, University & Country	Nusa Cendana University, Indonesia