

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Current Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCR_12575
Title of the Manuscript:	TREND ANALYSIS OF BLENDED LEARNING RESEARCH OUTPUT FROM SCOPUS: A SCIENTOMETRIC STUDY
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study provides a comprehensive overview of the trends in blended learning research, enabling researchers to understand the growth, focus areas, and global contributions in this domain. The manuscript serves as a foundational resource for understanding the evolution of blended learning research. Its insights can catalyze further innovation, collaboration, and strategic planning, making it a valuable tool for researchers, practitioners, and decision-makers in the field of education.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	YES ,suitable	

Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here	Abstract is comprehensive and perfect use of research statistics. Addition: Added a plausible reason for the 2020 peak (e.g., global pandemic) to provide depth. Deletion: Eliminated repetitive or less impactful phrases to streamline the abstract.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes the manuscript is scientifically correct, Literature Review section is perfectly used which shows critical study of research papers regarding the research topic. Good use of tables and figures. References are used correctly. Research statistics is also used nicely.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	YES	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Good use of research statistics. NO ETHICAL ISSUE NO COMPETING INTEREST PLAGIARISM NOT SUSPECTED	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Puneet Kumar
Department, University & Country	Amity University Gurugram India