

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Current Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCR_12571
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Irrigation methods and fertilizer application effects on growth and yield parameters, yield, economics and water use efficiency in groundnut</b>
Type of the Article	

### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**PART 1: Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The manuscript has novelty from general scientific view. It compares acceptable irrigation system with fertilizer rates and recommends better irrigation system optimum fertilizer rates increased ground nut production.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Absolutely.	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<b>The abstract ok.</b> But abstract mustn't start with study location. Just follow this guideline: background of the study (not more than two lines) and why, next express study location followed by methods, then results followed by recommendation and finally put keywords /short phrases not more than 4. I kindly request to arrange in the above manner.	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	YES. It is scientifically sound.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	Please increase your reference from 6-8.	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes. But Check again its grammer.	
<b><u>Optional/General</u> comments</b>		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Abdi Musa Sali</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Haramaya University, Ethiopia</b>