

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Current Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJOCR_12552</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Investigation of various physiological and yield characteristics for terminal heat stress in Indian Mustard (Brassica juncea)</b>
Type of the Article	

### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The manuscript is of interest to the scientific community as it aims to validate the morphological and physiological factors which are associated with heat stress tolerance which could be utilised for breeding climate resilient varieties.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Yes	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The language in the abstract needs to be corrected at various points. Although it is comprehensive but comparison drawn in the abstract with respect to various traits may either be uniformed for the experiment condition or genotypes as suggested.	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	The methodology regarding trait measured must be put under suitable subheading. Rest all is correct.	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The statistical analysis of the data is appropriate with proper mention of the mean values, standard deviation, CD etc. and as it aims to validate the morphological and physiological factors which are associated with heat stress tolerance which could be utilised for breeding climate resilient varieties and hence is useful for the scientific community.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in</b>	Additional references further strengthening the discussion portion must be incorporated.	

the review form. =		
Minor REVISION comments  <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The language needs to be improved. Suggestion as indicated in the review form as well as the manuscript must be incorporated. Minor revision suggested.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<b>PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT</b>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Anjali Joshi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>ICFRE-Arid Forest REsaerch Institute, India</b>