

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAB_12569
Title of the Manuscript:	Isolation and Identification of bacteria associated with the spoilage of Cucumis Melo (Golden melon) sold in Zuba and Gwarimpa Market
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article is quite important to know the dominant types of microbes so that it can be used as a reference by buyers and market managers as well as sellers in handling the fruit.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	the title of the article is appropriate	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is quite comprehensive	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	the manuscript is scientifically correct	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	the references are adequate and up to date	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	the language/English quality of the article is suitable for scientific communication	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sri Hidayati
Department, University & Country	Lampung University, Indonesia