



I. K. Press

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agriculture and Allied Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAS_12617
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>EVALUATION OF CHLOROPHYLL AND CAROTENOID CONTENTS IN TROPICAL WHEAT VARIETIES UNDER DIFFERENT IRRIGATION REGIMES</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline:**

**This journal believes that no manuscript should be rejected only based on 'lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is sufficiently robust and technically sound. Too often a journal's decision to publish a paper is dominated by what the Editor/reviewer thinks is interesting and will gain greater readership - both of which are subjective judgments and lead to decisions which are frustrating and delay the publication. This journal will rigorously peer-review your submissions and publish all papers that are judged to be technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://www.ikpress.org/index.php/index/editorial-guideline>



## PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments	The article makes a positive impression. The article is written on an actual issue and is important for the development of the region. The article is devoted to the study of the changes in chlorophyll and carotenoid contents under two irrigation regimes. In Figures 1-6, the captions for the histograms are incorrectly indicated, for example, "Chlorophyll B at", "Carotenoid content at" But these remarks do not reduce the value of the whole work.	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments	It may be appropriate to arrange the text and tables in a different way to avoid large spaces between the text and table breaks (however, this may be due to different software versions on the computers)	
<b>Optional/General comment</b>	It should be noted that the author has processed a significant amount of scientific sources. The conclusions are logical and well-founded.	

## PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	



**J. K. Press**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Khodanitska Olena</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Vinnitsia Mykhailo Kotsiubynskyi State Pedagogical University, Ukraine</b>