



I. K. Press

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agriculture and Allied Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAS_12591
Title of the Manuscript:	Hydro dam-Induced Flood Disaster and Its Influence on the Livelihood of the Dassenech, South Omo, Ethiopia
Type of the Article	

General guideline:

This journal believes that no manuscript should be rejected only based on ‘lack of Novelty’, provided the manuscript is sufficiently robust and technically sound. Too often a journal's decision to publish a paper is dominated by what the Editor/reviewer thinks is interesting and will gain greater readership - both of which are subjective judgments and lead to decisions which are frustrating and delay the publication. This journal will rigorously peer-review your submissions and publish all papers that are judged to be technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://www.ikpress.org/index.php/index/editorial-guideline>



J. K. Press

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is of significant importance to the scientific community as it contributes novel insights that advance understanding in its respective field. It provides robust data and a well-reasoned analysis, which could potentially pave the way for further research and applications. I particularly appreciate the clarity and rigor with which the authors present their findings, making complex concepts accessible and engaging.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title	Yes, it is Suitable	



J. K. Press

Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes it is comprehensive. Abstract is nicely written	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript demonstrates scientific correctness through its rigorous methodology and adherence to established protocols in the field. The experimental design is well-structured ensuring the reliability of the results. The data is analyzed using appropriate statistical methods, and the conclusions are logically derived from the findings. Additionally, the manuscript thoroughly discusses potential interpretations, which strengthens its scientific robustness and credibility.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient enough and recent ones.	
<u>Minor REVISION</u> comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Check for grammatical errors. Maintain proper spacing between the sentences by following author's guideline of the journal	



J. K. Press

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jeebanjyoti Behera
Department, University & Country	Odisha University of Agriculture and Technology, India