



Journal Name: [Asian Journal of Agriculture and Allied Sciences](#)  
Manuscript Number: **Ms\_AJAAS\_12591**  
Title of the Manuscript: **Hydro dam-Induced Flood Disaster and Its Influence on the Livelihood of the Dassenech, South Omo, Ethiopia**  
Type of the Article

## General guideline:

**This journal believes that no manuscript should be rejected only based on ‘lack of Novelty’**, provided the manuscript is sufficiently robust and technically sound. Too often a journal's decision to publish a paper is dominated by what the Editor/reviewer thinks is interesting and will gain greater readership - both of which are subjective judgments and lead to decisions which are frustrating and delay the publication. This journal will rigorously peer-review your submissions and publish all papers that are judged to be technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.ikpress.org/index.php/index/editorial-guideline>)

## **PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of</b>	This manuscript addresses a critical and underexplored topic: the socio-economic and ecological impacts of hydro dam-induced flooding on vulnerable communities. It provides significant insights into how government interventions, such as the Gibe III dam, have altered traditional livelihood systems and increased the vulnerability of pastoralist and agro-pastoralist communities. The study holds value for policy-making, disaster management,	



<b>3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	and the development of sustainable livelihood strategies in marginalized regions.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	The title is relevant and concise. However, consider making it more specific by including terms like "Gibe III Dam" to highlight the geographic and project-specific focus. For example: <b>"The Impact of Gibe III Hydro Dam-Induced Flood Disaster on the Livelihood of the Dassenech in South Omo, Ethiopia."</b>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The abstract effectively outlines the research problem and its significance but could benefit from restructuring for clarity and precision. Suggestions include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Adding specific data points or findings to provide a glimpse of the results.</li><li>• Replacing repetitive phrases and grammatical adjustments for better readability.</li></ul>	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	The subsections are well-organized and logical. The methodological approach is detailed, but the results and discussion sections could be further integrated to align findings with broader implications.	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The manuscript is scientifically sound, drawing on diverse data sources and employing a robust qualitative research methodology. It contributes to understanding the intersection of hydropower development, disaster risk, and indigenous livelihoods, making it a valuable addition to existing literature.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	The references are sufficient and recent. However, cross-check for formatting consistency and ensure the inclusion of all citations referenced in the text.	



# J. K. Press

<u>Minor REVISION</u> comments  <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	<b>Language and Clarity:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• The manuscript requires language polishing for scholarly communication. Issues such as awkward phrasing, run-on sentences, and minor grammatical errors need to be addressed.</li><li>• For example: Replace "uncompensable" with "irreplaceable" for better readability and accuracy.</li></ul>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The inclusion of visuals such as maps and graphs enhances comprehension but could be supplemented with clearer legends and annotations.	

## **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in <u>details</u>)</i>	No

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Chippy Mohan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Christ University, India</b>