

Journal Name:	<a href="#">PLANT CELL BIOTECHNOLOGY AND MOLECULAR BIOLOGY</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_PCBMB_12378</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Bacterial Leaf Blight in Rice: Exploring Pathogen Life Cycle, Resistance Genes, and MAS Breeding Strategies</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

**General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

**Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>		
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>		
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>		
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>		
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>		

Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		
Minor REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- On keywords: alphabetic</li> <li>- On conclusion: add conclusion</li> <li>- On references: add or change references using limited 5 years ago</li> </ul>	
Optional/General comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mahfut</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Lampung University, Indonesia</b>