

Journal Name:	<u>PLANT CELL BIOTECHNOLOGY AND MOLECULAR BIOLOGY</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PCBMB_12264
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of foliar application of micronutrients on yield and Physical characters of Mango (<i>Mangifera indica</i> L.) cv. Amrapali
Type of the Article	

General guideline:

This journal believes that no manuscript should be rejected only based on ‘lack of Novelty’, provided the manuscript is sufficiently robust and technically sound. Too often a journal's decision to publish a paper is dominated by what the Editor/reviewer thinks is interesting and will gain greater readership - both of which are subjective judgments and lead to decisions which are frustrating and delay the publication. This journal will rigorously peer-review your submissions and publish all papers that are judged to be technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this

link: (<http://www.ikprress.org/index.php/index/editorial-guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)	The manuscript is essential, but it needs to revise the manuscript based on the comments and suggestions.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Effect of Foliar Application of Micronutrients on Yield and Physical Charcters of Mango (<i>Mangifera indica</i> IL. cv. Amrapali) Fruits	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	The title of the manuscript should not be incorporated in the abstract. It needs to revise because the manuscript did not analyze the chemical properties of mango fruits.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	It needs to improve, e.g. Fruit count per shoot, fruit retention, fruit yield/tree, and fruit drop must be in one table. Then discuss the results. The results must include the letter intonation to determine if there is significance difference.	
Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? (Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)	It needs revision.	

Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	It needs to add more related references.	
Minor REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It needs revision on the table and subsections (observe proper title of each data or parameter).	
Optional/General comments	A revision is needed, especially in Materials and Methods, which needs an additional information how old the fruit trees, how the data gathering is done, the two-year data must be mentioned, and the Results and Discussion must be improved.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Raymund Julius G. Rosales
Department, University & Country	Mariano Marcos State University, Philippines