

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Global Ecology and Environment</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JOGEE_12348</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Comprehensive Investigation of Water Quality and Its Significant Impact on Women's Health in Third-World Countries</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

**Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The areas of study and issue is very important and emerging.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title need revision Proposed title, Water Quality and Its Impact on Women's Health in Gutamala..	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Reserch objectives and methodology need to clearly spellout in abstract	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	In result section need revision in analysis process by relating dependent and independent variable relationship in analysis process. No strong statsical software use . Recommend to use SPSS with bi variate analysis and co relationship	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Reviewing the overall manuscript, no bobust methodology and data analysis process are followed . therefore, I don't found this is robust manuscript.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the	Refrence section seems very poorly managed mixed APA6, 7 , some are vancouver and citation are in different then APA. , no clearly mentioned DOI in consistant manner with	

<p>review form. -</p>	<p>full link in consistant manner in all .... . Need reformatting of references in consistant manner.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Language seems understandable though it is not in high standard of academic writing. It is considerable.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>In overall comments, this manuscript need revision from the title to references section.  Specify the title, need linkages in womens WASH challnges in literature review and objectives of the study, methodology need to review properly with which methods, sampling with location, variable define for analysis clealy and specific staistical analysis process, ethical review process, tols , analysis etc  In result section exxpect to see more bivariate analysis and correlation of womens, water quality and health areas.  Discussion chapter need bit strengthen for the making strong outcomes.  Conclusion must be specific not general .  If limitation please mentoned the study limitation and omit the survey questions and phot from the manuscript main chapter.  Refernces to revist as per the given guideline .</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Durga Uprety</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Tribhuvan University, Nepal</b>