



I. K. Press

Journal Name:	Journal of Global Research in Education and Social Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOGRESS_12168
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Television Media on Women Empowerment: Reference to Gampaha District in Sri Lanka
Type of the Article	

General guideline:

This journal believes that no manuscript should be rejected only based on 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is sufficiently robust and technically sound. Too often a journal's decision to publish a paper is dominated by what the Editor/reviewer thinks is interesting and will gain greater readership - both of which are subjective judgments and lead to decisions which are frustrating and delay the publication. This journal will rigorously peer-review your submissions and publish all papers that are judged to be technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://www.ikpress.org/index.php/index/editorial-guideline>



1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's feedback
Compulsory REVISION comments		
1. Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? (Please write a few sentences on this manuscript)	Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community because it addresses a timely and relevant topic: Impact of Television Media on Women Empowerment: Reference to Gampaha District in Sri Lanka. The author provides a comprehensive overview of the existing literature on impact of television media on women empowerment . The manuscript is well-written and well-argued, and it makes a significant contribution to the field.	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title of the article is suitable. It is clear, concise, and accurately reflects the content of the manuscript.	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive. It provides a good overview of the main points of the manuscript, and it is clear and concise.	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. The manuscript is well-organized and easy to follow. The subsections are clearly labeled and relevant to the main points of the manuscript.	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	Yes, I think the manuscript is scientifically correct. The author cites relevant and recent literature to support his claims, and he argues his points in a clear and logical manner.	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions for additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, the 13 references are sufficient and recent.He also cites a number of recent sources, which suggests that he is up-to-date on the latest research	



J. K. Press

<u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	in this area.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications. The manuscript is well-written and free of errors in grammar and spelling. The author uses a clear and concise writing style.	
Optional comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kritika
Department, University & Country	Patna University, India