



I. K. Press

Journal Name:	Journal of Global Research in Education and Social Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOGRESS_12168
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Television Media on Women Empowerment: Reference to Gampaha District in Sri Lanka
Type of the Article	

General guideline:

This journal believes that no manuscript should be rejected only based on 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is sufficiently robust and technically sound. Too often a journal's decision to publish a paper is dominated by what the Editor/reviewer thinks is interesting and will gain greater readership - both of which are subjective judgments and lead to decisions which are frustrating and delay the publication. This journal will rigorously peer-review your submissions and publish all papers that are judged to be technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://www.ikpress.org/index.php/index/editorial-guideline>



1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's feedback
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? (Please write a few sentences on this manuscript)2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions for additional references, please mention them in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The abstract needs further modification and perfection, the authors need to revise the abstract and clearly state the aim of the study, methodology, findings, implications, and originality in more precise manners.....at the moment its too long and includes unnecessary headings</p> <p>Introduction: In my opinions, the authors need to further improve the introduction the authors should start from the broader context and highlight the importance of the topic.....and go to the specific point of study</p> <p>Secondly, the authors need to clarify the problem statement, and research gaps, call for research, and must be supported with the most recent references.at the moments, the problem statement has some weakness....</p> <p>Third, the authors should focus to precisely enlist the contribution and significance of the study.....I suggest the authors should take guidance from the following studies to strengthen the problem statement. https://doi.org/10.1108/BPMJ-11-2022-0582 https://doi.org/10.1108/EBR-10-2022-0212 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-023-29928-1</p> <p>Literature Review. The authors should focus on the most relevant studies....to clarify the theoretical gap.....I suggest the authors should draw a table and enlist the relevant studies, its background theories, methodological procedure, relevant studies....to clarify the gap in literature.....at the moment...there is no proper discussion of relevant literature /theories....</p>	



	<p>The authors can get guidance from the following studies; https://doi.org/10.1108/JIMA-07-2021-0231</p> <p>The authors also need to show a clear relationship among the hypothesis constructs or the linkage in models.... To draw a more clear picture of the theoretical framework or conceptual consideration other wise the authors have to justify in the methodology section....</p> <p>Methodology</p> <p>The authors need to clarify the methodology of the study in more clear and concise waywhy they used this method.....Please, clearly state the research approach, the population / parameters of the study, sample size, sampling procedure needs more precise clarification and solid reasoning.the authors need to improve the methodological procedure.....</p> <p>The authors can get guidance in some parts from the following articles to improve the article in quantitative section or can follow the articles that have applied the similar methodological procedure..... https://doi.org/10.1177/215824402210888 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-023-30956-0 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-023-29928-1</p> <p>Results</p> <p>Results need to be described to the point....and in more clear manner....and must be supported with logical reasonings.</p> <p>There must be the presentation of results from primary or secondary source</p> <p>Add Discussion</p> <p>Discussion and conclusion should be separated.</p> <p>The authors need to ADD the discussion of the study.....each proposed relationship OR research</p>	
--	---	--



J. K. Press

	<p>question / objective must be answered and validated with the most relevant and recent references in separate manner</p> <p>Implications – the authors should inline the implications with results.....and theoretical settings.</p> <p>Conclusion – It should be written in more concise way....and need to add some more perfect future direction.....The authors should merge the conclusion, limitations, and future directions.</p> <p>The authors should take the help of experts for proof readings.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
1. Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional comments		



J. K. Press

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fazal Ur Rehman
Department, University & Country	Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia, Malaysia