



I. K. Press

Journal Name:	Journal of Global Agriculture and Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOGAE_12195
Title of the Manuscript:	Study the morphological variations and germination behaviour of seeds of four promising fodder tree species
Type of the Article	

General guideline:

This journal believes that no manuscript should be rejected only based on 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is sufficiently robust and technically sound. Too often a journal's decision to publish a paper is dominated by what the Editor/reviewer thinks is interesting and will gain greater readership - both of which are subjective judgments and lead to decisions which are frustrating and delay the publication. This journal will rigorously peer-review your submissions and publish all papers that are judged to be technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this

link: (<http://www.ikpress.org/index.php/index/editorial-guideline>)



1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's feedback
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? (Please write a few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions for additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, it is. The study of how morphological characteristics of plants could influence the germination parameters of plants is very valuable as it could help design conservation strategies for these group of plants.</p> <p>Yes, it is.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes, I believe so, the methodology is scientifically sound. The results as well are very clear and straight forward.</p> <p>Yes they are.</p>	



Minor REVISION comments		
1. Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes it is. I made a few corrections but they were minor and can be easily corrected.	
Optional comments	None	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abidemi Benjamen Atteh
Department, University & Country	University of Stirling, Scotland