



I. K. Press

Journal Name:	Journal of Global Agriculture and Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOGAE_12191
Title of the Manuscript:	Exploring the Phosphate Solubilization Potential of Rhizobia Isolated from Sesbania Grandiflora
Type of the Article	

General guideline:

This journal believes that no manuscript should be rejected only based on 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is sufficiently robust and technically sound. Too often a journal's decision to publish a paper is dominated by what the Editor/reviewer thinks is interesting and will gain greater readership - both of which are subjective judgments and lead to decisions which are frustrating and delay the publication. This journal will rigorously peer-review your submissions and publish all papers that are judged to be technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://www.ikpress.org/index.php/index/editorial-guideline>



1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's feedback
Compulsory REVISION comments		
1. Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? (Please write a few sentences on this manuscript)	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Many studies were available on the P-solubilizing ability of Rhizobacteria. Current study seems to be very fundamental• pH tolerance and temperature tolerance must be assessed• Molecular characterization of rhizobial strains to be carried out• Methods of Quantitative estimation of P-solubilizing ability of strains must be elaborated• Results and discussion to be re-written with many more supporting points• Grammatical error must be avoided	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	The abstract must be written clearly and Grammatical errors can be avoided	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Modern Methods must have been adopted for the Identification of rhizobacteria and assessment of the P-solubilization efficacy of the isolates	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	The author should improve her/his scientific way of writing. The author is confused between treatments as well as isolates	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions for additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No	



J. K. Press

<u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments 1. Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<u>Optional</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	K. Sunitha kumari
Department, University & Country	PSGR Krishnammal College for Women, India