

1 **ROBOTICS AUTONOMOUS SYSTEMS (RAS) IN FOOD LABORATORIES ARE THE FUTURE**
2 **OF FOOD PROCESSING INDUSTRIES-A REVIEW**

19 **Abstract:**

20 The industrial robotics and mobile robotics and then expand on new trends in robotics research
21 that focus more on the interaction between human and robot. The new trends in robotics research
22 have been denominated service robotics because of their general goal of getting robots closer to
23 human social needs. Laboratory automation was thought to be limited to only some extent
24 Since the laboratory robot is computer controlled and computer driven, then consideration
25 must be given to the operation and programming of the unit. Each laboratory procedure, no
26 matter how complex, can be broken down into a series of discrete laboratory unit operations,
27 and these building blocks can therefore be integrated to allow the accomplishment of the
28 overall analysis scheme. While robotics systems have been useful tools in the laboratory for many
29 years, most notably in the area of liquid handling, many tasks are still only automated to a small

30 extent. At the same time a new wave of robotic devices is reaching the market from robot lawn
31 mowers to driverless cars, as well as smarter robots in manufacturing. Future generations of
32 scientists will need to possess both biological and engineering abilities in order to fully realize the
33 potential of laboratory automation. Future research programmes will probably depend more and
34 more on automation in the lab, continuing the trend of fusing scientific and engineering knowledge
35 to address original research problems.

Key Words: Robotics, automation, pipetting, sample handling, automation, productivity.

36 **1.0 Introduction**

37 Food crises lead to uneven access to nutritious food in the right amounts and quality, which
38 is recognized as a worldwide risk in society (World Economic Forum, 2020). Food demand will
39 rise by 59% to 98% by 2050 due to increases in the world population and personal incomes in
40 developing nations (Elferink and Schierhorn, 2016). This necessitates concentrating on enhancing
41 efficiency by implementing cutting-edge technology like autonomous systems and robotics (RAS)
42 in food laboratories (Rehman *et al.*, 2019). RAS is not a novel idea; it is employed in the
43 construction business to create high-rise structures (Cai *et al.*, 2019), in the tourism sector for
44 goods delivery and check-in and in transportation using self-driving trucks (Sanders *et al.*, 2019).
45 The application of RAS in food safety is a promising area to address difficulties in the food supply
46 chain (Bouzembrak *et al.*, 2019).

47 **1.1 History of Robotics**

48 The origin of the term “robot” is placed in more recent times: namely, it comes from the
49 Czech word “robota”, meaning “heavy work” or “forced labour”. The introduction of this term is
50 due to the Czech writer Karel Capek (1890-1938), who used it for the first time in 1920 in his
51 novel *Industrial applications of robotics* gained a paramount importance in the last century. The
52 beginning of “Industrial Robotics”, as we currently define it, can be dated back to the 1950’s,
53 although some kinds of automatization in the industrial environment started to appear since the
54 times of the Industrial Revolution. The evolution of industrial robots can be subdivided into four
55 categories, as in (Zamalloa *et al.*, 2017), the first three covering the timespan from the 1950’s to
56 the end of the 1990’s. The robots of the fourth generation (which ranges from 2000 to nowadays),
57 that are characterized by high-level “intelligent” features such as the capability of performing
58 advanced computations, logical reasoning, deep learning, complex strategies and collaborative
59 behavior.

60 **1.2 Basic components of a robotic system:**

- 61 ❖ Power Supply
- 62 ❖ Actuators
- 63 ❖ Electric motors (DC/AC)
- 64 ❖ Sensors:
- 65 ❖ Controller

66 **1.3. Reasons for automating processes**

67 It is necessary to decrease direct labor, improve quality, increase production, make it harder
68 for workers to complete tasks manually, make it harder to consistently meet specifications, make
69 processes more flexible, make work safer for employees, and remove a source of contamination.

70 **2.0 ROLE OF ROBOTICS IN FOOD SAFETY:**

71 Generally, food safety refers to the avoidance of illness resulting from the consumption of
72 contaminated food. The issue of food safety has been increasingly discussed through products
73 recalls, for example, the presence of salmonella in chicken. Another well-known example of food
74 safety crisis was the discovery of horsemeat in some beef products as well as the increased
75 detection of porcine DNA in some processed “Halal” products in the United Kingdom (Fuseini *et*
76 *al.*, 2021). The growing attention towards food safety might be because of the increasingly stricter
77 legislation and economic motivation (Akkerman *et al.*, 2010). The food safety failure or recall can
78 be a devastating factor and often tarnishes a company’s reputation (Soon *et al.*, 2020). A wide
79 range of standards and systems have been developed to help companies in order to manage food
80 safety issues. For example, the Hazard Analysis Critical Control Point (HACCP) system aims to
81 analyze and control biological, chemical, and physical hazards from the entire food supply chain
82 (FDA, 2004). The core idea of HACCP is to offer a structured method to identify risks along the
83 food supply chain and where possible either reduce those risks or eliminate them. The underline
84 of HACCP systems is the traceability of products along the food supply chain. Government has
85 enforced legislation to actively encourage the traceability during all stages of production,
86 manufacturing, and distribution. However, the complex and interconnected nature of food supply
87 chains limits the ability to undertake traceability in the food industry. In this context, food
88 companies have used RAS to address the traceability within their supply chains. Kshetri (2018),

89 high-lighted a recent success story in which Walmart used RFID technology to improve food
90 safety on the dinner tables of Chinese consumers. In this application, information such as farm
91 origin, storage temperatures, processing data, expiration dates, and transportation details from an
92 ecosystem of suppliers to store shelves and end users may show potential food safety hazards.

93 Alternatively, Alfian *et al.* (2017) used RFID and a wireless sensor network to measure the
94 temperature and humidity of kimchi during storage and shipping in South Korea. The proposed
95 method assists by optimizing kimchi distribution, monitoring freshness, and increasing consumer
96 satisfaction. However, the full benefits of RFID can only be realized if all enterprises in the food
97 chain use the technology (Balocco *et al.*, 2011). Full application of RFID technology across the
98 supply chain increases enterprises' risk and expenses (Kelepouris *et al.*, 2007). Because of the
99 intricacy of the food supply network and the fact that the majority of food enterprises are small
100 and medium-sized, these requirements pose significant challenges to RFID adoption in the food
101 supply chain.

102 **2.1 Role of robotics and their importance in food laboratories**

103 Automation in food laboratories aims at reinforcing aspects that are elemental to its
104 sustenance but underachieving. Despite the laboratory being one of the largest, greater dependence
105 on human labor to execute repetitive functionalities has deflated its economy. The ratio of
106 production to demand is severely short. This, at times, disrupts the demand-and-supply chain
107 causing inflation in foodgrain prices and food shortages.

108 An effective answer to this crisis is the incorporation of robotics into the food laboratories. Several
109 companies in food production have taken decisive steps in this direction. Various levels of analysis
110 can be made efficient by constituting robotics in the food laboratories. On the other hand, the
111 integration of robotics development services can lead to the automation of processes that are
112 performed manually, make production cost-effective, and minimize risks and errors.

113 **2.2 Types of some robotics used in food laboratories**


114 **2.2.1 Pickolo™ Colony-Picker**

115 Pickolo™ is a colony-picker add-on for Tecan robots. The product enables advanced and
116 fully automated micro-organism colony picking from agar plates, both Petri-dish and various
117 multi-well plate formats, based on diverse criteria such as size, shape and color of the colonies.
118 The product seamlessly installed on to the robot in just a few minutes. The software is easily

119 integrated into regular Tecan scripts such as Freedom EVOware® software enabling colony
120 picking downstream and upstream to other robotic tasks. The software generates automatic
121 documentation of the picked colonies (Bodai *et al.*, 2017).

122 **Features:**

- 123 ❖ Fast performance up to 800 colonies per hour
- 124 ❖ Full automation with upstream and downstream applications
- 125 ❖ Flexible selection criteria by color, size and more
- 126 ❖ Easy to use and
- 127 ❖ Simple to install




137 **Figure 1: Pickolo™ Colony-Picker**

138 **2.2.2 PetriPlater™ Robotic Spiral Plating and Streaking**

139 Automate dilution plating and spiral sample plating on the Freedom EVO® 75 with
140 SciRobotics' PetriPlater add-on. This provides state-of-the-art hardware and software to automate
141 your colony-picking experiments in an easy and cost-effective way. The Robotic Manipulator
142 Arm™ brings the source plate to the light table, and a high-resolution camera takes a photo. The
143 Pickolo software then analyzes the image and selects colonies for picking according to your

144 individual criteria. The template script provided makes it simple to set up the picking process while
 145 maintaining the freedom to define a variety of different colony selection criteria, micro-organisms
 146 and agar type (Truswell *et al.*, 2021).




153 **Figure 2: PetriPlater™ Robotic spiral plating and streaking**

154 **2.2.3 FluHema™ Hemagglutination Analyzer**

155 It can image an assay microplate, interpret the results and report them in multiple ways.
 156 Plates can be tilted for imaging at up to 65°. Results are analyzed using advanced computer vision
 157 techniques to identify positive vs. negative wells. The algorithm can be easily tailored by the user
 158 to his specific assay by providing typical examples. All results are documented and can be
 159 reviewed easily by lab personnel (Wilson *et al.*, 2017).

160 **Advantages:**




161

162 **2.2.4 GF Pickolo - GFP fluorescence colony picking**

163 These robots pick the colonies with the strongest fluorescent signal or the weakest. They
 164 can use average signal or cumulative signal or even pick the colonies with the largest
 165 fluorescent signal to maximize protein secretion.


Properties	Performance
High-resolution industrial grade camera and lens (10MB)	Perform fluorescence imaging of colonies expressing GFP
Optical filter for the fluorescence imaging	Select the colonies based on sophisticated and flexible criteria combining properties from both back-light imaging and fluorescent imaging
Software controlled illumination	Screening and isolation of monoclonal mammalian cell lines such as Hybridomas, CHO cell lines microbial clones

166 **Table 1: properties and performance of GFPickolo - GFP fluorescence colony picking**



173 **Figure 3: GFPickolo - GFP fluorescence colony picking**

174 **3.5 PickoloMI™ - for MALDI-TOF Microbial Identification**



180 **Fig 4: PickoloMI™ - for MALDI-TOF microbial identification**

181 **Advantages:**

- 182 ✓ Direct smearing: colony is smeared directly on MALDI target using disposable tip

- 183 ✓ Smart algorithm: specifically designed for automatic colony selection for MALDI
- 184 ✓ Sample tracking: Samples are automatically assigned to spots using a barcode reader
- 185 ✓ Documentation: easy review of plate and colony images from previous runs
- 186 ✓ Reports: Generate reports and sample file for Bruker's Biotype

187 **2.2.5 PetriSel™: Petri-Dish Carousel Add-On for Tecan Robots**

188 This robotic arm takes the petriplate directly from the carousel using special finger adapters
189 to hold a petri dish on the robot. This automatic plate sensing enables easy operation and long
190 walk-away time for petri-dish driven tasks. This robot allows the carousel to work with no transfer
191 station or shuttles and greatly speeds up operation with an integrated storage solution for up to 180
192 petri-dish which includes 12 stackers containing up to 15 petri-dish each.

193 **2.2.6 PickoCell™ picking stem-cell colonies on Tecan Robots**

194 This is composed of a special dark field illumination table combined with high-resolution camera
195 and Pickolo versatile and flexible image analysis software. The solution provides both interactive
196 or automatic colony selection based on diverse criteria of the desired colonies. By using the robot
197 tips, colonies covered with a thin layer of media are accurately and gently aspirated from the plate
198 bottom and dispensed into any kind of tube or collection plate while keeping the colonies viable
199 and intact (Ochs *et al.*, 2021).




206 **Fig 5: PickoCell™ picking stem-cell colonies on Tecan Robots**

207

208

209 **2.2.7 Sensory robotics E-nose**

210 Consists of different polymer films, which are specially designed to conduct electricity. When a
 211 substance is absorbed into these films, the films expand slightly, and that changes how much
 212 electricity they conduct. Each electrode reacts to particular substances by changing its electrical
 213 resistance in a characteristic way (Moshayedi *et al.*, 2023).



218 **Figure 6: Baseline resistance of the E-nose smelling properties**

219 Each polymer changes its size, -and therefore its resistance, by a different amount, making
 220 a pattern of the change. If a different compound had caused the air to change, the pattern of the
 221 polymer films' change would have been different

Biological nose	E nose
Inhaling	Pump
Mucus	Filter
Olfactory epithelium	Sensors
Binding with proteins	Interaction
Enzymatic proteins	Reaction
Cell membrane depolarized	signal
Nerve impulses	Neural network


222 Source (Tan *et al.*, 2020)

223 **Table 2: similarities between biological nose and E nose**

224 **2.2.8 Sensory robotics E-tongue**

225 An electronic tongue is a device made of sensors responding to some taste (soluble) of foods
 226 through the transduction of a signal or a pattern of signals thanks to a pattern-recognition software

227 system. This is able to quantify bitterness or “spicy level” of drinks or dissolved compounds,
 228 quantify taste masking efficiency of formulations (Tan *et al.*, 2020)



235 **Figure 7: how actually the E-tongue works**


Identify	E nose
Function	Identify chemical composition of liquids
Application	Wine industry
Principle	100s of microchip Sensors.
Colour change	Depends upon chemicals
Cost	20 USD
PROS	Effective qualitative results

236 Source (Tan *et al.*, 2020)

237 **Table:3 Importance of E-tongue**

238 **2.2.9 Munch-o-matic- An artificial Mouth**


239 This reproduces the result of mastication by chewing the samples and the release of saliva. The
 240 rate of food breakdown and the temperature all affect the flavor and smell of food before it’s
 241 swallowed (Panda *et al.*, 2020).



246 **Fig 8: Munch-o-matic- An artificial Mouth**

247 **2.2.10 Two-arm MOTOMAN CSDA10F robot**

248 Partial automation, in which the robot performs the repetitive actions of the laboratory staff,
249 thus facilitating routines. This robot assumes handling tasks, but process control remains with lab
250 personnel or automatic analysis system. Full automation of the testing procedure, including sample
251 preparation, pipetting, test implementation and operation of all analytical equipment by the robot.
252 This can independently carry out lab processes 24 hours per day with the highest precision and
253 repeatability (Sasamata *et al.*, 2021).



254
255
256
257
258
259
260

261 **Fig 9: Two-arm MOTOMAN CSDA10F robot**

262 **3.0 Robotics and worker safety**

263 Although the number of deaths caused by robots is very low, data varies on the number of
264 deaths or injuries caused by robots over the past few decades.

265 *In 1942 Asimov created the Three Laws of Robotics, or also known Asimov's Laws, a set of*
266 *principles robots should follow in the future towards human beings.*

- 267 ❖ A robot may not injure a human being or, through inaction, allow a human being to come
268 to harm.
- 269 ❖ A robot must obey the orders given it by human beings except where such orders would
270 conflict with the First Law.
- 271 ❖ A robot must protect its own existence as long as such protection does not conflict with the
272 First or Second Laws.

273 Through proper implementation of Robots in a manufacturing or production area, it can
274 decrease musculoskeletal disorders, injuries associated with falls from higher places and other
275 hazards that can cause harm to human beings. Robots can also reduce overexertion and repetitive,
276 monotonous or tasks which is often associated with the food chain for example bulk vegetable
277 cutting. Robotics is ideal to work in harsh environments for example in freezers where
278 temperatures reach -18°C or below. Robots can also assist with heavy lifting, for example in a
279 bakery lifting heavy bread tins, or arranging heavy food items in a dry store (Scheel, 1993).

280 **4.0 Challenges faced by the implementation of robotics in the food chain**

281 The implementation of Robotics will initiate the unforeseen requirements of the policies
282 and regulation related to the operation, usage and legalities of the business. However, the
283 contemporary challenges of implementation of Robots in the food chain are as under.

284 **4.1 Policies and regulations**

285 Policies and regulations need to be in place that will guide the collaboration between
286 humans and robots successfully. In many implementations, the policies and regulations that will
287 guide the collaboration between humans and robotics are not in place once the implementation is
288 completed. In case of an event where it involved a robotic, it will create a new set of issues. “While
289 OSHA (Occupational Safety and Health Administration USA) does not have regulations specific
290 to robots in the workplace, employers would be wise to conduct job hazard analyses and evaluate
291 any existing or potential robotic equipment installation, to abate any hazards posed by these
292 machines.” Companies should have regulations in place for example regulations that protect their
293 work force from a human error involving robotics. A proper analysis should be done of the hazards
294 that could arise from working next to a robot in certain areas. Robots and automation are complex,
295 which leaves the business owner with many questions associated with 'human' and moral values.

296 **5.0 Conclusion**

297 RAS is being developed rapidly and thought to be a promising technology. The adoption
298 of RAS in the food supply chain improves the management as well as increase the quality and
299 efficiency. With the rising labor cost and labor shortage due to uncertain political policies and
300 disruption events, RAS might be one of the approaches to make food affordable. Although several
301 areas of the food manufacturing sector will benefit from robotic devices; the robotic devices

302 specifically designed for food production will help reduce the time and cost of production could
303 make a great contribution to the food manufacturing sector .

304 REFERENCES

- 305 Akkerman, R., Farahani, P., and Grunow, M. (2010). Quality, safety and sustainability in food
306 distribution: a review of quantitative operations management approaches and
307 challenges. *OR Spectrum*, 32, 863-904.
- 308 Alfian, G., Rhee, J., Ahn, H., Lee, J., Farooq, U., Ijaz, M. F., and Syaekhoni, M. A. (2017).
309 Integration of RFID, wireless sensor networks, and data mining in an e-pedigree food
310 traceability system. *Journal of Food Engineering*, 212, 65-75.
- 311 Balocco, R., Miragliotta, G., Perego, A., and Tumino, A. (2011). RFId adoption in the FMCG
312 supply chain: an interpretative framework. *Supply Chain Management: An International*
313 *Journal*, 16(5), 299-315.
- 314 Bodai, Z., Cameron, S., Bolt, F., Simon, D., Schaffer, R., Karancsi, T., and Takats, Z. (2017).
315 Effect of electrode geometry on the classification performance of rapid evaporative
316 ionization mass spectrometric (REIMS) bacterial identification. *Journal of the American*
317 *Society for Mass Spectrometry*, 29(1), 26-33.
- 318 Bouzembrak, Y., Kluche, M., Gavai, A., and Marvin, H. J. (2019). Internet of Things in food
319 safety: Literature review and a bibliometric analysis. *Trends in Food Science and*
320 *Technology*, 94, 54-64.
- 321 Cai, S., Ma, Z., Skibniewski, M. J., and Bao, S. (2019). Construction automation and robotics for
322 high-rise buildings over the past decades: A comprehensive review. *Advanced Engineering*
323 *Informatics*, 42, 1-18.
- 324 Elferink, M., and Schierhorn, F. (2016). Global demand for food is rising. Can we meet it. *Harvard*
325 *Business Review*, 7, 1-6.
- 326 FDA. (2018). Hazard analysis critical control point (HACCP).
- 327 Fuseini, A., Hadley, P., and Knowles, T. (2021). Halal food marketing: an evaluation of UK halal
328 standards. *Journal of Islamic Marketing*, 12(5), 977-991.
- 329 Kelepouris, T., Pramataris, K., and Doukidis, G. (2007). RFID-enabled traceability in the food
330 supply chain. *Industrial Management and Data Systems*, 107(2), 183-200.
- 331 Kshetri, N. (2018). Blockchain's roles in meeting key supply chain management
332 objectives. *International Journal of Information Management*, 39, 80-89.
- 333 Moshayedi, A. J., Khan, A. S., Shuxin, Y., Kuan, G., Jiandong, H., Soleimani, M., and Razi, A.
334 (2023). E-Nose design and structures from statistical analysis to application in robotic: a
335 compressive review. *EAI Endorsed Transactions on AI and Robotics*, 2(1).

- 336 Ochs, J., Biermann, F., Piotrowski, T., Erkens, F., Niebing, B., Herbst, L., and Schmitt, R. H.
337 (2021). Fully automated cultivation of adipose-derived stem cells in the Stem Cell
338 Discovery-A robotic laboratory for small-scale, high-throughput cell production including
339 deep learning-based confluence estimation. *Processes*, **9**(4), 575.
- 340 Panda, S., Chen, J., and Benjamin, O. (2020). Development of model mouth for food oral
341 processing studies: Present challenges and scopes. *Innovative Food science and Emerging
342 Technologies*, **66**, 102524.
- 343 Rehman, T. U., Mahmud, M. S., Chang, Y. K., Jin, J., and Shin, J. (2019). Current and future
344 applications of statistical machine learning algorithms for agricultural machine vision
345 systems. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, **156**, 585-605.
- 346 Sanders, N. R., Boone, T., Ganeshan, R., and Wood, J. D. (2019). Sustainable supply chains in the
347 age of AI and digitization: research challenges and opportunities. *Journal of Business
348 Logistics*, **40**(3), 229-240.
- 349 Sasamata, M., Shimojo, D., Fuse, H., Nishi, Y., Sakurai, H., Nakahata, T., and Sasaki-Iwaoka, H.
350 (2021). Establishment of a robust platform for induced pluripotent stem cell research using
351 Maholo LabDroid. *SLAS TECHNOLOGY: Translating Life Sciences Innovation*, **26**(5),
352 441-453.
- 353 Scheel, P. D. (1993). Robotics in industry: A safety and health perspective. *Professional
354 Safety*, **38**(3), 28.
- 355 Soon, J. M., Brazier, A. K., and Wallace, C. A. (2020). Determining common contributory factors
356 in food safety incidents-A review of global outbreaks and recalls 2008–2018. *Trends in
357 Food Science and Technology*, **97**, 76-87.
- 358 Tan, J., and Xu, J. (2020). Applications of electronic nose (e-nose) and electronic tongue (e-
359 tongue) in food quality-related properties determination: A review. *Artificial Intelligence
360 in Agriculture*, **4**, 104-115.
- 361 Truswell, A., Abraham, R., O Dea, M., Lee, Z. Z., Lee, T., Laird, T., and Abraham, S. (2021).
362 Robotic Antimicrobial Susceptibility Platform (RASP): a next-generation approach to One
363 Health surveillance of antimicrobial resistance. *Journal of Antimicrobial
364 Chemotherapy*, **76**(7), 1800-1807.
- 365 World Economic Forum, W. (2019). The global risks report. Geneva, Switzerland: World
366 Economic Forum.
- 367 Zamalloa, I., Kojcev, R., Hernández, A., Muguruza, I., Usategui, L., Bilbao, A., and Mayoral, V.
368 (2017). Dissecting robotics-historical overview and future perspectives. *Acutronic
369 Robotics*, 1-9.
- 370