



I. K. Press

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Current Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCR_12189
Title of the Manuscript:	DEVELOPMENT OF PROTEIN ENRICHED FUNCTIONAL FRUIT BAR
Type of the Article	

General guideline:

This journal believes that no manuscript should be rejected only based on 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is sufficiently robust and technically sound. Too often a journal's decision to publish a paper is dominated by what the Editor/reviewer thinks is interesting and will gain greater readership - both of which are subjective judgments and lead to decisions which are frustrating and delay the publication. This journal will rigorously peer-review your submissions and publish all papers that are judged to be technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://www.ikpress.org/index.php/index/editorial-guideline>



1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's feedback
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? (Please write a few sentences on this manuscript)2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions for additional references, please mention them in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Research is important, especially for the production of healthy and safe food for people and sustainable development.</p> <p>Additional results should be provided. Which of the three types of fruit gave the best results overall.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes, but not enough.</p> <p>You should also add more recent references, past 5 years.</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<p>The results are incomplete and sketchy. It is necessary to explain the obtained results, and only then to add correlations with other researches. It was not stated</p>	



	<p>which of the three tested fruits gave the best results, and to explain why. It is not stated from which plantation (or which plantations) the samples were taken for testing (given that the abstract refers to sustainable production, as well as healthy human nutrition). There is no manuscript conclusion. References are not uniform according to the guidelines of the journal. Also, the work needs to be arranged technically according to the instructions.</p>	
Optional comments	The work can be accepted, but it needs a lot of correction.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Marija Bajagić
Department, University & Country	University Bijeljina, Bosnia and Herzegovina