

Journal Name:	Journal of Biology and Nature
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOBAN_12302
Title of the Manuscript:	Population Dynamics and Stock Status of the Round Sardinella (<i>Sardinella aurita</i>, Valenciennes, 1847) in the Coastal Waters of Côte d'Ivoire (West Africa).
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (<i>Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here</i>)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<p>The manuscript entitled "Population Dynamics and Stock Status of the Round Sardinella (<i>Sardinella aurita</i>, Valenciennes, 1847) in the Coastal Waters of Côte d'Ivoire (West Africa)" focused changes in the population and some aspects of the biology of <i>Sardinella aurita</i>.</p> <p>The study is important to the scientific community, especially with the use of FiSAT software. However, 95% of the citations are old some as old as 67 years ago (report on dynamics of exploited fish). Only one of the citations was published in 2023. Such a study requires up-to-date information regarding the fish stock.</p> <p>The similarity index report gave an index of 38%. This is too high a percentage, the author(s) is/are advised to rephrase.</p> <p>The tables' titles are too wordy. Suggestions have been given in the manuscript.</p>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable.	

<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract is comprehensive, it only requires correcting some grammar.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The methodology, large sample size, and the results generated via the use of FiSAT are appropriate, and important in the population dynamic studies. It informs management strategies and policies tailored towards having sustainable fishery resources.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>The references are way too old for such a study. https://jifro.ir/article-1-4649-en.pdf (2021) https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S235248552100013X (2021) https://www.fishbase.se/summary/1043 (2024)</p>	

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The English quality is fairly okay. The author needs to work on punctuations and some aspect of junctions.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Line 44: Include the longitude and latitude of the sampling location. Line 143: Fugure 4a and \$b not indicated. Be more specific. Line 146-150: Recast. Line 166: Journal's citation format not followed. Line 173: The statement therein is vague.</p> <p>The FiSAT software: Source should be acknowledged.</p>	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i></p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Israel O. Obaroh</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Kampala International University, Uganda</p>