



**J. K. Press**

Journal Name:	<a href="#"><u>Journal of Basic and Applied Research international</u></a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JOBARI_12133</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Valorization of Wild Edible Plants as a Potential Source of Alternative Nutrition among the Tribal Communities of West Bengal</b>
Type of the Article	



## 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's feedback
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? <b>Yes</b> (Please write a few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? <b>OK</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? <b>Yes</b></p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? <b>Yes</b></p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? <b>Yes</b></p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? <b>NO</b> If you have suggestions for additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>- <b>Abstract:</b> check in the Keywords, that the underlined and highlighted letter in yellow is written in small letters.</p> <p>- <b>Introduction:</b> numerically mark the reference highlighted in yellow (line 3 of the third paragraph).</p> <p>- <b>Materials and Methods:</b> bold the word Figure, both 2 and 3. Improve the resolution and sharpness of Figure 2.</p> <p>- <b>Results and Discussion:</b> include in each scientific name the classifiers (Author and year in which it was classified, in tables 1, 2 and 3). No results are discussed in this section.</p> <p>- <b>Conclusion:</b> revise it, since it is not possible to synthesize the conclusion, so that it is in accordance with the title and objective of the research, and leave the conclusion in a single paragraph.</p>	<p>Each point of revision has been completed according to the reviewers requirement. The results have been refined as per the suggestions.</p>
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly</p>		



# J. K. Press

communications? <b>OK</b>		
<b>Optional</b> comments		

## **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	The revised manuscript has been done according to the reviewers comments.