



J. K. Press

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Arts, Humanities and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Original_Manuscript_AJAHSS_12148
Title of the Manuscript:	Exploring the State of South African Schools Regarding the Right to Free Basic Education
Type of the Article	



1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's feedback
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? (Please write a few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p>	<p>Overall, the manuscript is important for the scientific community because it provides a detailed analysis of the constitutional context of free basic education in South Africa, applies a relevant theoretical framework, presents a case study with practical implications, and contributes to the broader discussion of education policy and its implementation.</p> <p>The title is suitable for the manuscript.</p> <p>The abstract provided need fully capture the essence and scope of the manuscript. It does not adequately summarize the main arguments, findings, and implications of the research. The abstract primarily focuses on the general context of the study, the theoretical framework, and the methodology used, but it does not delve into the specific results and conclusions drawn from the study. The abstract could be improved by providing a more detailed summary of the study's findings, including the responses of parents and school administrators regarding the implementation of the policy on free basic education in Cosmo City. It should also highlight the implications of the study's results for education policy and practice in South Africa, particularly in the context of the country's constitutional guarantees and the challenges faced by the government in implementing these guarantees.</p> <p>The subsections need to be organize:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Introduction<ol style="list-style-type: none">1.1. Problem statement1.2. Research question1.3. Objectives1.4. Theoretical framework	



<p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions for additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1.5. etc2. Methodology3. Results and Discussion4. Conclusion <p>In my opinion, while the manuscript is well-researched and grounded in the relevant literature, it could be improved for scientific correctness by providing clearer definitions of key terms, specifying the methodology used in the case study, and exploring the broader implications of the findings for education policy and practice in South Africa.</p> <p>References in the text are a mix of old and recent sources; It is recommended that using more recent and relevant references can support arguments and findings. Apart from that, there are also quotes that are not found in the reference list or vice versa. Writing citations and references also needs to follow journal requirements.</p>	
---	---	--



Minor REVISION comments 1. Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language quality of the article is generally suitable for scientific communication. However, there are some areas where the language could be improved for greater clarity and precision. For example, some sentences are long and can be broken up to make them easier to read, and punctuation errors. Additionally, some ambiguity or unclear phrasing can be clarified for better understanding and needs improvements to increase clarity and accuracy.	
Optional comments	Suggestion: sent to the proofreading.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hendri Pratama
Department, University & Country	Association for Researcher of Skills and Vocational Training, Malaysia