



**J. K. Press**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Current Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJOCR_12242</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>COMPARATIVE EVALUATION OF THE EFFECT OF DIFFERENT MEDIA IN FEEDER CUM OPERATION REGULATION AQUAPONICS SYSTEM ON THE PERFORMANCE OF CROP PARAMETERS OF LETTUCE</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>



## 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's feedback
<p><b><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li><b>1. Is the manuscript important for the scientific community?</b> (Please write a few sentences on this manuscript)</li><li><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li><li><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li><li><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li><li><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li><li><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions for additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b></li></ol> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. This manuscript is quite important for the scientific community because the salad plant is one of the commodities that has the potential and opportunity for its development, especially for human life.</li><li>2. The title is a bit difficult to understand. Title suggestion: Comparative evaluation of the impact of various media in the Feeder-Aquaponic Regulation System on the performance of lettuce plant parameters</li><li>3. I don't think the article is comprehensive. The preparation of the abstract must be adapted to the publisher's template. In the abstract, the existing problems are not described, so this research is necessary.</li><li>4. In general, it is appropriate, but it still needs to be improved and completed in the Materials and Methods section.</li><li>5. A research manuscript can be said to be scientific if it can be researched again with the same method by others or replicated in other places. If you look at the methods described, I think there are still many things that need to be improved. For example, this study uses a complete randomized design, but it is not explained further which treatment and how many replicates are performed.</li></ol>	<p>Thank you for the comment. The title has been revised into a legible one. The abstract has been modified accordingly. The materials and methods chapter has been revised as per the comments. More references has been included in the manuscript. Thank you for the comment.</p>



J. K. Press

	6. References need to be added with the latest	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
1. Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<b>Optional</b> comments	To finalize the manuscript suggestions for translation, use a professional translator or one provided by the publisher.	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	