

Impact of standardizing macro-nutrient (Nitrogen) requirement in newly released potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) var. Kufri Neelkanth

ABSTRACT

Present experiment was conducted during the *rabi* season at the Centre for vegetable excellence, department of vegetable science, Chandrashekhar Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur. The experiment was carried out under factorial randomized block design with three replications. The experiment encompassed seven treatments including a control: T₁ - Nitrogen 00 kg/ha, T₂ - Nitrogen 50 kg/ha, T₃ - Nitrogen 100 kg/ha, T₄ - Nitrogen 150 kg/ha, T₅ - Nitrogen 200 kg/ha, T₆ - Nitrogen 250 kg/ha, and T₇ - Nitrogen 300 kg/ha, each replicated three times. Various growth characteristics were evaluated, including plant Emergence (%), plant height (cm) at 25, 50, and 75 days after planting, the number of shoots per plant at 75 days after planting, the number of compound leaves per plant at 75 days after planting, the number of tubers of different grades, Total tuber yield, and tuber dry matter content. The results demonstrated that applying nitrogen at a rate of 200 kg/ha (T₅) significantly increases the plant emergence (%) viz. 96.61, tuber number of different grades viz. 320 (0-25 g), 402 (25-75 g), 297 (>75 g) and total tuber yield viz. 71.49 (kg/plot) and 372.34 (q/ha), while applying nitrogen at a rate of 300 kg/ha (T₇) significantly increases the plant height (cm) at different days DAP viz. 23.28 (25 DAP), 52.32 (50 DAP), 63.93 (75 DAP), No. of shoots and leaves viz. 5.92 and 37.91 at 75 DAP respectively, Fresh and dry haulm yield (kg/plot) viz. 34.46 and 4.89 respectively, while Tuber dry matter content (%) viz. 18.74 was found highest in the control *i.e.* T₁ having no nitrogen application.

Keywords: Potato, Nitrogen, Growth, Yield and Dry matter

INTRODUCTON

Potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.), belonging to the *solanaceae* family, is among the most crucial vegetable crops, originating from South America. Potato is auto-tetraploid with a chromosome count of 2n=48. Distinguished from other crops, potato store food materials in underground stem parts known as tubers. This tuber crop significantly contributes to global food security, ranking fourth after rice, wheat, and maize (Rana, 2008).[1] Economically, potatoes provide low-cost energy and are rich in starch, vitamins especially B and C and minerals (Kumar *et al.*, 2013).[2] The edible part of potatoes is a modified stem that grows underground, known as a "tuber". Tubers hold significant importance in our daily diet and are a major source of carbohydrates and starch. As per Das's analysis[3] in 1999, the typical composition of a 100 g edible portion of potato tubers includes: moisture (74.7 g), protein (1.6 g), fat (0.1 g), carbohydrates (22.6 g), energy (97 kcal), calcium (10 mg), phosphorus (40 mg), iron (0.70 mg), carotene (24µg), thiamine (0.10 mg), riboflavin (0.01 mg), and vitamin C (17 mg). Potatoes, as highlighted by Mehdi *et al.* (2008),[4] are a nutritious food with low energy content and excellent nutritional quality, providing essential vitamins, minerals, and trace elements. Purine-pyrimidines, crucial for RNA and DNA synthesis essential for photosynthesis, contain nitrogen as a vital component. Nitrogen binds to the chlorophyll porphyrin ring and is essential for cell elongation and multiplication.

Nitrogen application profoundly influences crop growth and development, augmenting both the size and number of tubers, thus enhancing overall yield. However, excessive nitrogen can prolong vegetative growth, delaying

tuber development and resulting in poor quality and reduced yield. Conversely, insufficient nitrogen application at any growth stage can lead to decreased tuber yield and profitability. Nitrogen, being a mobile nutrient in soil, is susceptible to losses via leaching and surface runoff. Thus, determining the appropriate nitrogen rate is crucial for successful white potato production (Phillips *et al.*, 2004).[5] Nitrogen deficiency adversely affects plant growth and development, underscoring its vital role in potato production. Numerous studies have investigated the impact of nitrogen fertilizer rates on the yield of potato cultivars[19-21]. Here the purpose of the study was that to know how different levels of nitrogen dose can affect the growth and yield of potato variety. Keeping the above points in mind present experiment was conducted to standardize macro-nutrient (nitrogen) requirement in newly released potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) var. Kufri Neelkanth”.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present investigation was conducted during Rabi season of 2023-24 at Centre for vegetable excellence, Department of vegetable science, Chandrashekhar Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Nawabganj, Kanpur. The Gross plot size is 4.8×4.0 m² and net plot size is 3.6×3.6 m². The spacing is kept 60cm \times 20cm between and within rows. The recommended dose of fertiliser is 180:80:100 of NPK in kg/ha. The experiments were analyzed at Randomized Block Design. The experiment laid down in 3 replication and consisted 7 treatments *viz.* T₁ - Nitrogen 00 kg/ha, T₂ - Nitrogen 50 kg/ha, T₃ - Nitrogen 100 kg/ha, T₄ - Nitrogen 150 kg/ha, T₅ - Nitrogen 200 kg/ha, T₆ - Nitrogen 250 kg/ha, and T₇ - Nitrogen 300 kg/ha. Standard cultural practices recommended were followed uniformly in all experiments.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Growth parameters

Plant emergence (%)

In present investigation under different treatments of nitrogen the plant emergence was found to be in the range of 93.43 to 96.95%. The nitrogen level and variety of potato had non significant effect on plant emergence at 30 days after sowing. which might be due to the already stored food material inside the tuber which provided an initial boost to the emerging shoots, not the applied nitrogen since the seed tuber did not develop a root system to absorb the applied nitrogen before the shoot and root emergence. Adhikari (2009)[6] and chongtham *et. al.*(2015)[7] also noticed that different nitrogen levels did not significantly influence the percent emergence which corroborate with the results of present investigation,(**Table-1**).

Plant height (cm)

The maximum height of plant at 25, 50 and 75 DAP was found in treatment T7 (Nitrogen 300 kg/ha), (23.28, 52.32 and 63.93 cm), while the minimum height of plant was found in Control T₁ (Nitrogen 00 kg/ha), (18.07, 46.73 and 57.87 cm). Plant height increased gradually as nitrogen dosages were increased to as high as 300 kg/ha. The results of Kushwah (1989)[8] and Malik *et al.* (1999)[9] support the idea that plants grow taller as their nitrogen dose increases,(**Table-1**).

Number of shoots per plant

It was evident from the data that the treatment T7 was found the best treatment for influencing the number of shoots per plant and it gave the maximum number of shoots per plant (5.92) at 75 DAP, while the minimum number of shoots per plant (3.12) at 75 DAP, were observed in treatment T1, among in all nitrogen levels. It was also demonstrated by Nandekar *et al.*, (1991)[10] and Sharma and Singh (1988)[11] that no amount of nutrients could drastically and appreciably alter the number of shoots,(**Table-1**).

Number of compound leaves per plant

It was evident from the data that the treatment T7 was found the best treatment for influencing the number of compound leaves in potato and it gave the maximum number of compound leaves per plant (37.91) at 75 DAP, while the minimum number of compound leaves per plant (28.45) at 75 DAP, were observed in treatment T1, among in all nitrogen levels. Similarly, nitrogen content in potato foliage was twice as high as that in tubers and continuously increased with the N amount applied, (Anoton Ruza *et al.* 2013),[12](**Table-1**).

Number of tubers of different grades

The data also depict that the different nitrogen levels in potato significantly affected the number of tubers in grade 0-25g, 25-75g and >75 g per plot, which was recorded in the range of 215-320, 231-402 and 157-297, respectively with significantly highest values under the treatment T5 where nitrogen was applied at the rate of 200 kg/ha closely followed by T6, nitrogen dose of 250 kg/ha. The results of present study corroborate the findings of Sahu *et al.* (2016)[13], (Abdella *et al.*, 1995)[14] who also noted the highest number of 25-75g grade tubers per plot with the application of nitrogen 200kg/ha, (**Table-1**).

Yield-attributing characters

Total tuber yield (q/ha)

Result showed that maximum tuber yield was observed in treatment T5, where nitrogen was applied at the rate of 200 kg/ha i.e. 372.34 q/ha, which is closely followed by T6, nitrogen dose of 250 kg/ha i.e. 360.36 q/ha. The minimum tuber yield was observed in treatment T1, where no nitrogen is applied i.e. 184.24 q/ha. Chongtham *et al.* (2015)[7] found that the increased levels of nitrogen significantly influenced the potato tuber yield but upto a limit after that the total tuber yield is adversely affected, (**Table-1**).

Tuber dry matter content (%)

It was evident from this experiment that by increasing the nitrogen level, the dry matter content (%) of tuber is decreasing slightly. The maximum dry matter content (18.74 %) of tubers was observed in treatment T1, whereas, the minimum dry matter content (16.12 %) was found in tubers was recorded in treatment T7. These results are harmonious with the results obtained by Pandey *et al.*, (2017)[15],(**Table-1**).

Total tuber yield (kg/plot)

Result showed that maximum tuber yield was observed in treatment T5, where nitrogen was applied at the rate of 200 kg/ha i.e. 71.49 kg/ha, which is closely followed by T6, nitrogen dose of 250 kg/ha i.e. 69.19 kg/ha. The minimum tuber yield was observed in treatment T1, where no nitrogen is applied i.e. 35.38 kg/ha. The findings of present investigation are in line with the findings of Banjare *et al.* (2014)[16] ,(Table-1). [III]

Fresh haulm yield (kg/plot)

The perusal of data in revealed that the fresh yield weight was influenced significantly due to nitrogen levels. The fresh haulm weight ranges from 24.35 – 34.46 kg. The highest yield was observed in T8 having 300 kg N/ha while lowest is in control T1 having no nitrogen. These results are in line with the findings of Sharma, P.K. *et al.* (2015)[17],(Table-1).


Dry haulm yield (kg/plot)

Application of nitrogen levels only exerted significant influence upon this parameter. Accordingly, the maximum dry haulm yield noted (4.89 kg) from N300, followed by N250 (4.75 kg) and then N200 (4.49 kg). The significantly lowest yield (2.62 kg) was noted from control N00. The range of dry haulm yield lies between 2.62 – 4.89 kg/ha. Similar results were found by Sharma, U.C. *et al.*(1991)[18] ,(Table-1).

Table-1: Response of nitrogen on growth and yield parameters of potato crop

TREATMENTS	PLANT EMERGENCE(%)	PLANT HEIGHT (cm)			NO. OF SHOTS	NO. OF COMPOUND	NO. OF TUBERS			TOTAL TUBER YIELD	TOTAL TUBER YIELD	FRESH HAULM	DRY HAULM	TUBER DRY MATTER
	AT 30 DAP	25 DAP	50 DAP	75 DAP	AT 75 DAP	LEAVES AT 75 DAP	0-25 g	25-75 g	> 75 g	(kg/plot)	(q/ha)	YIELD (kg/plot)	YIELD (kg/plot)	CONTENT (%)
T1 (0:80:100)	93.43	18.07	46.73	57.87	3.12	28.45	215	231	157	35.38	184.27	24.35	2.62	18.74
T2 (50:80:100)	94.14	19.47	47.93	58.40	3.74	29.58	227	275	196	43.84	228.33	28.87	2.86	18.46
T3 (100:80:100)	95.09	20.87	48.13	59.93	4.25	31.14	268	303	219	54.24	282.5	30.49	3.47	18.14
T4 (150:80:100)	95.58	21.35	49.12	61.20	5.43	34.56	289	333	260	64.76	337.29	31.16	4.12	17.97
T5 (200:80:100)	96.61	22.63	51.59	62.34	5.61	36.94	320	402	297	71.49	372.34	32.3	4.49	17.69
T6 (250:80:100)	96.08	23.14	52.21	63.67	5.73	37.52	304	391	290	69.19	360.36	33.76	4.75	16.54
T7 (300:80:100)	95.45	23.28	52.32	63.93	5.92	37.91	287	383	288	65.79	342.65	34.46	4.89	16.12
S.Em +	0.46	0.216	0.625	0.682	0.08 4	0.415	2.83	4.52 6	3.457	0.58	2.893	0.574	0.061	0.266
CD at 5%	1.434	0.673	1.946	2.126	0.26	1.294	8.81 7	14.1 01	10.769	1.807	9.012	1.787	0.191	0.829

Fig 1: Response of nitrogen on tuber yield per plot (kg) and per hectare(q/ha)



CONCLUSION

Presented results depict a clear cut idea about the better potato cultivation scenario in the *Indo-gangatic* alluvial region of Uttar Pradesh. Based on the experimental results, it is concluded that amongst the nitrogen levels, 200 kg N/ha recorded almost significantly higher growth and yield-attributing parameters and tuber yield from potato var. Kufri Neelkanth. Thus 200 kg N/ha recorded maximum tuber yield (372.34 q/ha) while tuber dry matter content (17.69%) is recorded in this. Similarly, 200 kg N/ha application recorded the maximum tuber number (402) of grade 25-75g alongwith the maximum total tuber number (1019) of all the three grades.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors extend their appreciation toward Centre of excellence for vegetables, Department of vegetable science, Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture and Technology Kalyanpur, Kanpur. for their enomous support while conducting the research.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION

All the authors review and edited the manuscript. Rajiv, R.B.Singh, D.P.Singh, Chandrashekhar Singh, Vikas Pratap Singh provided the technical expertise to improve the article . Anurag Yadav, Mandeep Kumar Diwakar, Shashikant Maurya and Nirankar performed the experiments and analysis .

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Abdella, G., Guinazu, M., Tizio, R., Pearce, D.W. and Pharis, R.P. 1995. Effect of chloroethyltrimethyl ammonium chlorides on tuberization and endogenous GA, in roots of potatocuttings. *Plant Growth Regulators*, 17: 95-100.[14]
2. Adhikari RC. Effect of NPK on vegetative growth and yield of desire and Kufri sindhuri potato. *Nepal Agric. Res. J.* 2009;9.[6]
3. Banjare, S., Sharma, G. and Verma, S.K. 2014. Potato crop growth and yield responsedifferentlevels of nitrogen under Chhattisgarh plains agro-climatic zone. *Indian Journal of Science andTechnology*, 7(10): 1504-1508.[16]
4. Chongtham, S.K., Patel, R.N., Patel, J.K., Patel, C.K., Patel, D.H., Patel, C.R. and Zapadiya, D.M.2015. Yields, nutrient and water use efficiency and economics of potato variety Kufri Surya as influenced by different nitrogen levels. *International Journal of Agriculture Sciences*, 7: 785787.[7]
5. Das PC. *Potato in India*. First Edition, Kalyani Publishers, New Delhi; c1999, p. 1-3.[3]
6. Kumar M, Baishaya LK, Ghosh DC, Gupta VK, Verma MR. Effects of organic manures, chemical fertilizers and biofertilizers on growth and productivity of rainfed potato in the eastern Himalayas. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*. 2013;36:1065-1082.[2]
7. Kushwah VS. Effect of different levels of nitrogen and planting density on production of seed potato (*Solanum tuberosum*). *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. 1989;59(9):561-5.[8]

8. Malik YS, Bhatia AK, Singh Narendra, Nehra BK, Khurana SC. Effect of nitrogen, seed size and spacing on seed potato production in cv. Kufri Sutlej. Potato global research and development Proceedings of the Global Conference on Potato, New Delhi, India. 1999-02;2:861-865.[9]
9. Mehdi, M., Saleem, T., Rai, H.K., Mir, M.S. and Rai, G. 2008. Effect of nitrogen and FYM interaction on yield and yield traits of potato genotypes under Ladakh conditions. Potato Journal, 35(34): 126-129.[4]
10. Nandekar DN, Sharma TR, Sharma RC, Sawarkar SD. Fertilizer requirements of potato cv. Kufri Badshah in Madhya Pradesh. J Indian Potato Assoc. 1991;16(2/3):123-126.[10]
11. Phillips SB, Warren JG, Keahey DA, Mullins GL. The effect of nitrate: ammonium ratios on nitrate reductase activity, nitrogen content, and yield of strawberry (*fragaria × ananassa* cv.selva). Crop Soil and Env. Sci. Publication. 2004, 438-442.[5]
12. Rana MK. Olericulture in India. Kalyani Publishers, Ludhiana, 2008.[1]
13. Ruza A, Skrabule I, Vaivode A. Influence of nitrogen on potato productivity and nutrient use efficiency. Processing of the Latvian academy of science. 2013;67(3):247-253.[12]
14. Pandey RP. The Potato. First Edition, Kalyani Publishers, New Delhi; c2002. p. 91-92.[15]
15. Sahu, E., Sarnaik, D.A., Sharma, P.K., Barik, S.B. and Yadav, V. 2016. Influence of different levels of nitrogen on potato cultivars under Chhattisgarh plains in dorsa soil. Progressive Horticulture,48(1): 87-91.[13]
16. Sharma, P.K., Joshi, P.K., Rangare, S.B. and Yadav, V. (2015). Influence of different nitrogen levels on growth and yield attributes of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.). Trends in Biosciences, 8(5):1126-1127.[17]
17. Sharma, U.C. and Grewal, J.S. (1991). Response of potato to NPK fertilization and their interaction effects. Journal of Indian Potato Association,18(1-2):43-47.[18]
18. Sharma UC, Singh K. Response of potato N, P and K in acidic hill soil of Meghalaya. J Indian Potato Assoc. 1988;15(1-2):40-44.[11]
19. Abdel-Wahab SI, El Sayed WM, El Manzlawy AM. Influences of Some Preceding Winter Crops and Nitrogen Fertilizer Rates on Yield and Quality of Intercropped Maize with Cowpea. J. Exp. Agric. Int. [Internet]. 2016 Mar. 18 [cited 2024 May 23];11(6):1-19. Available from: <https://journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/article/view/469>
20. Rakshitha KR, Chobhe KA, Dandapani R, Sahoo RN, Kumar A, Saini R, Manjaiah KM. Effect of Nitrogen Fertilizers Applied through Nano Clay Polymer Composites on Nitrogen Use Efficiency of Rice. Int. J. Plant Soil Sci. [Internet]. 2023 Oct. 10 [cited 2024 May 23];35(20):1252-9. Available from: <https://journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/article/view/3924>
21. Dobermann A, Cassman KG. Cereal area and nitrogen use efficiency are drivers of future nitrogen fertilizer consumption. Science in China Series C: Life Sciences. 2005 Sep;48:745-58.